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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. PANTINA: I know nobody is | 1 | (At which time a short break was |
| 2 | going anywhere, but I have a question for, | 2 | taken) |
| 3 | I guess, John. From what we've talked | 3 | MR. PANTINA: It's a quarter after |
| 4 | about to this point, about the amended plat | 4 | 8, hopefully everybody that's mic'd out can |
| 5 | that we're gonna sign with the easement on | 5 | hear me somewhere and they're coming back |
| 6 | it. | 6 | online. We are going to move ahead with |
| 7 | MR. MICHALSKI: Right. | 7 | the next application, and we're gonna chat |
| 8 | MR. PANTINA: Right, so what I have | 8 | for a while. |
| 9 | in front of me as subdivision 756 control | 9 | The next application is site plan |
| 10 | points, dated 6/30/2020 that was filed with | 10 | 1132-20, Linden Development, medical office |
| 11 | this application, is that going to be the | 11 | building. I just want to explain it to the |
| 12 | final, or are we going to have to modify | 12 | board, in case you do not see it, although |
| 13 | that again also for the medical whatever? | 13 | I sent you an e-mail today. So what |
| 14 | MR. MICHALSKI: Well, I think the | 14 | happened was, and John's gonna explain it |
| 15 | plan you had was a compliance plan for the | 15 | better, but these plans were made available |
| 16 | prior approval, right, the prior | 16 | early on, in which case you had them to |
| 17 | subdivision approval? | 17 | review, we have a professional report |
| 18 | MR. PANTINA: Yeah, this showed the | 18 | within the last weekend, or within the last |
| 19 | easement that we're talking about. | 19 | couple of days, Lee Klein and, Lee Klein |
| 20 | MR. MICHALSKI: Right, so I think -- | 20 | and Robert Curley have come up with changes |
| 21 | MR. PANTINA: So, this is gonna | 21 | in which case the driveway changed, the |
| 22 | work, correct? | 22 | driveway alignment changed, and the parking |
| 23 | MR. MICHALSKI: For the original | 23 | lot changed. The building moved half a |
| 24 | preliminary and final subdivision. | 24 | foot somewhere along the line. What we are |
| 25 | MR. PANTINA: For the original | 25 | concerned with before I give it over to the |
|  | Page 6 |  | Page 8 |
| 1 | preliminary and final, right, but what I'm | 1 | applicant is, earlier on between Jeff Coker |
| 2 | asking you is that, is this potentially | 2 | and John, you were saying that we did |
| 3 | going to change again? | 3 | receive FAA approval, explain that, please. |
| 4 | MR. MICHALSKI: No, it's gonna change | 4 | MR. MICHALSKI: Right, so Nick. |
| 5 | by the amended subdivision approval you | 5 | MR. PANTINA: Can you hear us |
| 6 | just granted. | 6 | better now? |
| 7 | MR. PANTINA: Yeah, I know. | 7 | MR. MICHALSKI: I can hear you, can |
| 8 | MR. FIORLETTI: That doesn't affect | 8 | you hear me? |
| 9 | the subdivision. | 9 | MR. PANTINA: I can hear you, yeah. |
| 10 | MR. PANTINA: No, the subdivision | 10 | MR. MICHALSKI: Okay, so here's the |
| 11 | is on here. | 11 | deal. We had submitted a concept plan |
| 12 | MR. FIORLETTI: Yeah, I know, the | 12 | where the building layout was different |
| 13 | medical building does not change -- | 13 | than what you see early on to get that |
| 14 | MR. MICHALSKI: The medical building | 14 | moving with the FAA. That approval took |
| 15 | is gonna have no impact on the subdivision. | 15 | some time, we just got it last week. This |
| 16 | MR. PANTINA: Has no impact on | 16 | is why we need to move forward and get the |
| 17 | here, right. So, what I'm saying is we can | 17 | board's approval first, and we can make it, |
| 18 | sign this soon and file it? | 18 | obviously, a condition of your approval |
| 19 | MR. MICHALSKI: Well, you'll sign it | 19 | that we get the FAA approval, but we need |
| 20 | and hold on to it, we're only going to | 20 | to know what this layout is probably going |
| 21 | submit for recording, the amended | 21 | to look like so we can get that to the FAA, |
| 22 | subdivision plat that you just approved. | 22 | because what actually goes to the FAA is |
| 23 | MR. PANTINA: Okay, we'll talk | 23 | the coordinates of the building. |
| 24 | about it. It's 8:06, come back in 5 | 24 | So, the FAA knew where the old |
| 25 | minutes. | 25 | building, where the concept building was, |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | and the four coordinates of the corners of | 1 | approving that because the building is |
| 2 | that building, and then they come in, they | 2 | actually further away than the building |
| 3 | review it, and they give an approval. | 3 | that you reviewed. We have a consultant, |
| 4 | So, we're gonna need to send, once we | 4 | also, our engineer has weighed in, and we |
| 5 | finalize this plan with the board, we need | 5 | don't anticipate an issue with the FAA |
| 6 | to send those new coordinates to the FAA to | 6 | approving whatever building layout you |
| 7 | get the approval of this building. So, | 7 | finally approved. |
| 8 | it's important that we get the board | 8 | MR. PANTINA: What about the |
| 9 | approval first so that we don't have to | 9 | parking area? |
| 10 | keep going back and forth with the FAA | 10 | MR. MICHALSKI: The parking area is |
| 11 | approval taking a long time. | 11 | bigger. The parking area is not an issue, |
| 12 | MR. PANTINA: So, the building that | 12 | I mean, the parking area before went to |
| 13 | was submitted with the application, you | 13 | that area, the FAA only looked at the |
| 14 | have a, I want to say preliminary, you have | 14 | coordinates of the building, the height of |
| 15 | a conceptual review by the FAA and they | 15 | the building, where it was from sea level, |
| 16 | kind of said okay? | 16 | or whatever the actual measurements are. |
| 17 | MR. MICHALSKI: No, we had a building | 17 | The parking, we don't anticipate that the |
| 18 | that was submitted that they did say okay | 18 | new parking layout is gonna create any |
| 19 | to. | 19 | issue with the FAA approval, and mind you |
| 20 | MR. PANTINA: But is that the | 20 | you condition your approval on the FAA |
| 21 | building that you submitted as part of this | 21 | approval, that's all on our shoulders |
| 22 | application? | 22 | right, because if we don't get the FAA |
| 23 | MR. MICHALSKI: No, because that | 23 | approval for this building, then your |
| 24 | submission went to the FAA -- | 24 | approval means nothing. |
| 25 | MR. PANTINA: Wait, wait, stop, so | 25 | MR. PANTINA: And considering the |
|  | Page 10 |  | Page 12 |
| 1 | that building was the building that's shown | 1 | word condition that we typically have done, |
| 2 | on the subdivision rendering, you can't see | 2 | not on FAA stuff, but in the past on other |
| 3 | that, but because you're rendering that | 3 | matters, but we do have an ordinance on |
| 4 | Brad sent over 3 sheets that's a perfect | 4 | file, it's part of our code, and it's up to |
| 5 | square, that's kind of sitting in the | 5 | this board to do whatever they want to do, |
| 6 | northwest quadrant of that site. | 6 | but is the wording in those ordinances |
| 7 | MR. MICHALSKI: That's correct, and | 7 | doesn't really say anything about |
| 8 | that building though, just so you know, is | 8 | conditioning, it says the court shall not |
| 9 | located closer to the RPZ line than this | 9 | make any approvals until the FAA makes a |
| 10 | building. | 10 | decision on it. |
| 11 | MR. PANTINA: Right, I'm trying to | 11 | MR. MICHALSKI: Hold on. |
| 12 | be fair on all of this and we're just, I | 12 | MR. PANTINA: I have that in front |
| 13 | dont want to have any side bars, I don't | 13 | of me, I can quote it verbatim if you want |
| 14 | want to have any executive sessions, I | 14 | it, it's 31:46.2 I think, hold on. It's 46 |
| 15 | don't want to shut this mic off, I just | 15 | -- 31:46.2 with an airport runway |
| 16 | want to move forward or get some | 16 | protection zone regulation, yada, yada, |
| 17 | clarification. | 17 | yada, the interpretation of the runway |
| 18 | So, what you have, what you submitted | 18 | protection zone shall be made by the city |
| 19 | to the FAA was part of the original amended | 19 | engineer in cooperation with officials of |
| 20 | site plan which is really, for the most | 20 | the FAA. Any proposed development within |
| 21 | part, nowhere near what I'm looking at in | 21 | the runway protection zone shall be |
| 22 | front of me, nor what was changed the last | 22 | coordinated with the FAA, and no approval |
| 23 | 72 hours? | 23 | for development shall be given without |
| 24 | MR. MICHALSKI: Correct, but we don't | 24 | approval of the Federal Aviation |
| 25 | anticipate any issues with the FAA | 25 | Association. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. MICHALSKI: If you condition your | 1 | I am going to take a vote -- |
| 2 | approval on the FAA approval, you're doing | 2 | MR. RINALDO: No, you don't take a |
| 3 | just that, because the FAA is gonna have to | 3 | vote. |
| 4 | grant an approval. If your approval means | 4 | MR. CURLEY: If I may say one thing, |
| 5 | nothing if you condition upon the FAA | 5 | it's Robert Curley. The FAA issued an |
| 6 | approval -- | 6 | approval on the project based upon the |
| 7 | MR. COKER: John, hold on a second, | 7 | building as you indicated which is a |
| 8 | this is Jeff with Cypress. Nick, go back | 8 | square, they would amend that approval. |
| 9 | to what you just said. You said anything | 9 | So, they essentially they've issued an |
| 10 | inside the RPZ zone, we are outside the RPZ | 10 | approval for the project, they also |
| 11 | zone. | 11 | identified that this was an obstruction and |
| 12 | MR. PANTINA: No, the parking lot | 12 | that there would be a requirement to have a |
| 13 | is in the RPZ zone. | 13 | single light placed on the building on the |
| 14 | MR. COKER: That's not gonna get | 14 | corner that was closest to the RPZ zone. |
| 15 | disapproved because parking is across the | 15 | So, I just wanted the board to |
| 16 | street. That's what has been done forever. | 16 | understand, an approval was issued on this |
| 17 | You got the school buses that were over | 17 | site, it will be amended by the FAA. |
| 18 | there, the parking lot, all that stuff | 18 | MR. PANTINA: You guys you could |
| 19 | across the street that is in the RPZ zone, | 19 | provide that to the board, honestly, we |
| 20 | this building is outside the RPZ, it's 17 | 20 | don't have it. |
| 21 | feet outside of it. The FAA doesn't have | 21 | MR. CURLEY: Absolutely. |
| 22 | any approval over it, period. | 22 | MR. RINALDO: It's a legal question |
| 23 | MR. MICHALSKI: But, even if you | 23 | and I make the decisions on the legal |
| 24 | disagree with what Jeff said, right, if | 24 | questions. So, you may proceed, and if we |
| 25 | you're conditioning your approval on the | 25 | approve your plan, the entire plan, the RPZ |
|  | Page 14 |  | Page 16 |
| 1 | FAA approval, you're doing exactly what | 1 | and everything else, it will not be |
| 2 | this ordinance is saying, that you need to | 2 | approved unless, you hear these words John, |
| 3 | coordinate with them to see if they're | 3 | unless you get approval from the FAA. |
| 4 | gonna approve it. Your approval will mean | 4 | MR. MICHALSKI: In other words, in |
| 5 | nothing if the FAA doesn't approve this | 5 | the resolution it will say -- |
| 6 | building. | 6 | MR. RINALDO: In the resolution |
| 7 | MR. PANTINA: I understand that and | 7 | that will be a condition, a negative |
| 8 | I meet with the FAA on a regular basis, I | 8 | condition unless. |
| 9 | was with them personally about, I would say | 9 | MR. MICHALSKI: Understood. |
| 10 | the end of August, end of August, possibly | 10 | MR. RINALDO: Go. |
| 11 | the beginning of September, and they | 11 | MR. MICHALSKI: All right, I'll start |
| 12 | already had eyes on that site because I was | 12 | off then, back with Linden Development, |
| 13 | saying we're probably going to do a site | 13 | LLC, block 469 lot 3805, planned commercial |
| 14 | plan. I didn't bring it up, they brought | 14 | development district zone. |
| 15 | it up, they brought it up to me, they said | 15 | This is an application for |
| 16 | we have coordinates on the site in the | 16 | preliminary, amended preliminary and final |
| 17 | corner. | 17 | site plan approval for proposed 7,147 |
| 18 | So, we don't even have a PAD there | 18 | square foot medical/professional office |
| 19 | yet, we just have Taco Bell. I was like, | 19 | building. |
| 20 | no, we have coordinates, that must have | 20 | We are pleased to be back before the |
| 21 | been something that you sent that square, | 21 | board for approval of another portion of |
| 22 | that other building. They had the | 22 | Legacy Square. The medical/professional |
| 23 | coordinates on it already, right, they're | 23 | office building is being built on |
| 24 | already looking at them. | 24 | speculation to attract future tenants, and |
| 25 | Again, I am one person on the board so | 25 | there is a need for a certain amount of |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | flexibility with certain features, which | 1 | no longer on a corner lot if you look at |
| 2 | our witnesses will testify to in more | 2 | the definition. So, we'll leave that to |
| 3 | detail. | 3 | Paul's discretion, but to be conservative, |
| 4 | If you recall, we obtained amended | 4 | we're also requesting a variance for sign |
| 5 | preliminary site plan approval for phase 3 | 5 | issues to make sure we have that covered. |
| 6 | in 2018 which preliminarily approved this | 6 | We have reviewed the reports, the |
| 7 | PAD site. We are now seeking amended | 7 | reports received from Mr. Pantina dated |
| 8 | preliminary and final site plan approval. | 8 | October 8, 2020, a report received from Mr. |
| 9 | As we talked about a minute ago, we did | 9 | Ricci dated October 9, 2020, a report |
| 10 | obtain FAA approval dated September 29, | 10 | received from Mr. Klein dated October 8, |
| 11 | 2020, for a concept building on this | 11 | 2020, and a report from the fire official |
| 12 | development lot, and we do anticipate | 12 | dated October 8, 2020. |
| 13 | receiving FAA approval, amended approval, | 13 | We do intend to comply with all those |
| 14 | for this amended building layout should the | 14 | review letters. I'll also note that in |
| 15 | board vote favorably and grant approval | 15 | connection with those review letters, the |
| 16 | tonight. | 16 | building has been adjusted and been |
| 17 | In connection with this application, | 17 | shifted, the layout has been shifted as we |
| 18 | we need two variances, one is principal | 18 | discussed, we'll show an exhibit shortly to |
| 19 | setback to the adjacent zone. You said | 19 | show exactly how it was shifted. And so |
| 20 | that this variance is necessary, we're | 20 | with that, I have three witnesses I'll be |
| 21 | requesting a variance from ordinance | 21 | calling tonight. |
| 22 | section 31-11.4.A3D which requires | 22 | The first witness is Brad Kern, our |
| 23 | principal building to be setback a minimum | 23 | project architect from MMA; the second |
| $24$ | of 25 feet from all other zone boundaries, | $24$ | witness will be Robert Curley, from Maser, |
|  |  | 25 | our engineer; and last will conclude with |
|  | Page 18 |  | Page 20 |
|  | 17.85 feet from the RPZ overlay zone. | 1 | Mr. Hughes who is handling the planning |
| 2 | We're doing this to be conservative. | 2 | from Phillips Preiss. |
| 3 | We actually agree with Mr. Ricci's comment | 3 | So, with that I'd like to swear in my |
| 4 | in his letter that the zone setback | 4 | first witness unless the board has any |
| 5 | provision was not really intended to | 5 | other questions. |
| 6 | require a setback from the RPZ overlay | 6 | MR. RINALDO: Mr. Klein did send a |
| 7 | zone. This overlay zone was put in place | 7 | reply to Mr. Curley, I believe on October |
| 8 | really to address the FAA issue, and this | 8 | 12, which did say it addressed all the |
| 9 | is an unintended consequence. | 9 | provisions, I believe, is that correct? |
| 10 | So we agree with that, but to be | 10 | MR. MICHALSKI: Thank you very much. |
| 11 | conservative, we'll put off proofs for that | 11 | MR. RINALDO: Go ahead, first |
| 12 | variance. The other variance is sign | 12 | witness. |
| 13 | location, this one's a little fuzzy too. I | 13 | MR. MICHALSKI: First witness will be |
| 14 | know that and we'll get into it in more | 14 | Brad Kern. |
| 15 | detail too when our witnesses testify, but | 15 | MR. KERN: Can everybody still hear |
| 16 | to the extent necessary, we're requesting a |  | me? Am I still audible? Okay, great I |
| 17 | variance from ordinance section 31-25.8A to |  | don't know if I have to be sworn in again, |
| 18 | permit the sign locations proposed, which | 18 | but I was sworn in earlier. |
| 19 | is 6 signs on the building, 2 on the front, | 19 |  |
| 20 | 1 on each side, and 2 on the back. |  | AD KERN, K-E-R-N, with a business address of |
| 21 | The zoning provision is a little |  | 97 Route 66, Neptune, New Jersey, having been |
| 22 | unclear. It talks about a building facing |  | ly sworn, testified as follows: |
| 23 | a street, and then it talks about a | 23 |  |
| $24$ | building on a corner lot, by nature of the | 24 | MR. MICHALSKI: I submit that Brad is |
| 25 | subdivision, technically this building is |  | an expert architect, he testified before |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | this board. | 1 | MR. RICCI: And you're gonna revise |
| 2 | MR. RINALDO: Accepted. | 2 | your plans to reflect that, right? |
| 3 | MR. MICHALSKI: Thank you. Brad, can | 3 | MR. KERN: Yes, as we discussed, we |
| 4 | you show on your screen the perspective | 4 | will revise, once we submit our final |
| 5 | that we're gonna mark as A-1 and walk the | 5 | compliance documents for the signage |
| 6 | board through this project from an | 6 | specifically, with our signage |
| 7 | architectural perspective. | 7 | calculations, the depiction of our signs, |
| 8 | MR. KERN: Sure, so what your | 8 | we will arise at the show of compliance. |
| 9 | seeing on the screen here is the | 9 | Just my final statement, I just want |
| 10 | perspective rendering of the building. | 10 | to note for the record that we are going to |
| 11 | What you're looking at is the south facing | 11 | reduce the upper power pit on the buildings |
| 12 | facade, and this exhibit was also included | 12 | on the front and side elevations by 4 |
| 13 | in the architectural documents that was | 13 | inches, and this is to comply with the |
| 14 | submitted as part of this application. As | 14 | parameters set forth in the FAA review |
| 15 | you can see, the building is comprised of | 15 | documentation. |
| 16 | primarily EFIS in various patterns and | 16 | So what you'll note on the elevations, |
| 17 | textures such as brick, wood, and various | 17 | at currently those upper power pits |
| 18 | different colors. | 18 | mentions are 23 foot 4 , we are going to |
| 19 | We also have a cultured stone base, we | 19 | propose that those are going to be 23 foot |
| 20 | have a store front and glass, and | 20 | even, and that's to comply with the FAA |
| 21 | architectural features such as the canopies | 21 | documentation that was submitted for 55 |
| 22 | as you can see in this rendering, and these | 22 | et above, and that's what I have to offer |
| 23 | are the same materials that are utilized in | 23 | bout the architectural design. |
| 24 | other buildings throughout the development. | 24 | MR. MICHALSKI: And also I think you |
| 25 | Now, what we tried to do is break down | 25 | mentioned this, Brad, but you did say that |
|  | Page 22 |  | Page 24 |
| 1 | the massing of the facade by changes in | 1 | this building does fit within the designing |
| 2 | material, changes in textures, color, | 2 | theme that has been established by the |
| 3 | facade plains, also any architectural | 3 | balance of this project? |
| 4 | features, again such as canopies, we feel | 4 | MR. KERN: Yes. |
| 5 | that breaks down the massing of the overall | 5 | MR. PANTINA: Brad, so other than |
| 6 | building and gives it elegant | 6 | this perspective rendering, sheet A-3, the |
| 7 | sophistication to the overall design. | 7 | color is the same as what was submitted, |
| 8 | So, again, in my opinion, the | 8 | but just, I guess a little caveat about |
| 9 | architectural design is consistent with the | 9 | what you just said about the sign changing |
| 10 | overall design theme of the development. | 10 | a couple of inches, right? |
| 11 | In terms of building signage, as John | 11 | MR. KERN: Correct. All the |
| 12 | mentioned, we are proposing a total of 6 | 12 | materials, all the building design in |
| 13 | signs on the building. Two signs as you | 13 | general, will not change other than the |
| 14 | see in this perspective rendering are | 14 | specific height of those upper power pits. |
| 15 | proposed on the front of the building, one | 15 | MR. PANTINA: So, when this is |
| 16 | sign is proposed on each side of the | 16 | done, John, I just need visual exhibits |
| 17 | building, and on the rear we are proposing | 17 | sent in. |
| 18 | two signs. | 18 | MR. MICHALSKI: Will do. That's it |
| 19 | Again, 6 signs in total and our intent | 19 | for my direct of Brad, if the board has any |
| 20 | is to comply with section 31-25.8 in terms | 20 | questions? |
| 21 | of us complying with the area of 10 percent | 21 | MR. RICCI: No, we'll talk about the |
| 22 | or less of the mall area, will be the area | 22 | tential sign variance later, Brad, or you |
| 23 | of the signage and the height of the sign | 23 | ant to talk about that now? |
| 24 | will not be more than 4 feet high, and the | 24 | MR. MICHALSKI: We can talk abut it |
| 25 | intent is to comply with that ordnance. | 25 | now. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. RICCI: I spoke with Mr. Kern | 1 | the alternate planning board engineer for |
| 2 | lier today and I think Mr. Michalski, | 2 | Ion Heights, I've appeared before numerous |
| 3 | you're a very thorough attorney. I'll tel | 3 | board throughout the state. |
| 4 | you, you serve it quite well, I'll tell you | 4 | MR. RINALDO: Accepted. |
| 5 |  | 5 | MR. MICHALSKI: Thank you, very much. |
| 6 | With that said, the PCV signage, | 6 | Can you share the screen and bring up what |
| 7 | really, I think it's clear in it's wording | 7 | we're gonna mark as $\mathrm{A}-2$, which is the |
| 8 | applies to, I would agree to the retail | 8 | comparison exhibit, and explain to the |
| 9 | building, so as an office building, the | 9 | board what changes have been made pursuant |
| 10 | underlying zone for signage would comply in | 10 | to Mr. Klein's comments. |
| 11 | this context, I mean, there's an issue | 11 | MR. CURLEY: Okay, can everyone see |
| 12 | where you get multiple signs throughout the | 12 | the screen that I've got displayed? So |
| 13 | building, it's a corner lot, and what he's | 13 | everyone can see -- so what this exhibit |
| 14 | referring to is that the thing with the | 14 | shows, it shows in a darker outline, the |
| 15 | landscaped area on Route 1 and 9 is a | 15 | proposed building configuration and parking |
| 16 | separate parcel than the subject property, | 16 | lot configuration. In the lighter shade, |
| 17 | but it's functionally a corner lot, it | 17 | it shows the old configuration whereby we |
| 18 | really depends upon, I think you got like a | 18 | had the driveway entrance shifted to the |
| 19 | foot. That's what makes the fair amount of | 19 | south, and it was brought up by Mr. Klein |
| 20 | Route 1 on the plan. | 20 | to try to realign our driveway entrance so |
| 21 | So, I think from a planning | 21 | it would be opposite the driveway for the |
| 22 | perspective, this property, and how it | 22 | Walmart site. |
| 23 | conceptually sits, functions as a corner | 23 | So, in doing so, what we were able to |
| 24 | lot, and I think it should be treated as a | 24 | do is make a configuration change for the |
| 25 | corner lot, also. | 25 | parking lot and actually achieve more |
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| 1 | MR. RINALDO: Make that as a final, | 1 | parking than what we had previously |
| 2 | John. | 2 | proposed, keeping the trash storage area |
| 3 | MR. MICHALSKI: Sounds good. Sounds | 3 | basically in the same place, we modified |
| 4 | good to me. If we have no other questions, | 4 | the location of the transformer pad, and |
| 5 | I can move on to our engineer. | 5 | again, we had the building oriented pretty |
| 6 | All right, Mr. Curley? | 6 | much in the exact same spot and that's |
| 7 | MR. CURLEY: Yes. | 7 | largely because the site is constrained |
| 8 |  | 8 | with an existing sanitary sewer easement |
|  | BERT CURLEY, C-U-R-L-E-Y, with a business | 9 | that bisects the site likewise with the RPZ |
|  | ress of 331 Newman Springs Road, Suite 203, | 10 | zone which is the heavier li |
| 11 | Bank, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, | 11 | So in an effort to mimic the FAA |
| 12 | ied as follows: | 12 | approval that was issued on the site, we |
| 13 |  | 13 | wanted to make sure that our proposed |
| 14 | MR. MICHALSKI: Robert, Can you just | 14 | building was no closer to the RPZ zone than |
| 15 | give the board the benefit of your | 15 | what was previously approved. |
| 16 | qualifications, please. | 16 | So, those were the constraints that we |
| 17 | MR. CURLEY: Yes, I'm a licensed | 17 | had to work with and what we ended up doing |
| 18 | professional engineer and professional | 18 | was again, shifting the parking lot |
| 19 | planner in the State of New Jersey. I | 19 | slightly, turning the area that was |
| 20 | received my bachelor of science from Newark | 20 | adjacent to where the trash dumpster area |
| 21 | College of Engineering, NJIT. I've been | 21 | is, and make it almost parallel to Route 9. |
| 22 | practicing engineering for 39 years, I've | 22 | So, that is the configuration that |
| 23 | been the planning board engineer for Brick | 23 | we're proposing right now, and from a |
| 24 | Township for 7 years, I was the alternate | 24 | circulation standpoint, if vehicles needed |
| 25 | planning board engineer for Brielle, I was | 25 | to come into the site, vehicles can enter |

trash storage area, and likewise around the rear portion of the transformer pad, and we will be placing some foundation plantings along the southern wall of the proposed structure.

MR. FIORLETTI: I have a question. Why are the handicap spots only in front of tenant B?

MR. CURLEY: So, the handicap stalls, the requirement for a site of this size with the quantity of stalls that we have, is to provide two handicap stalls, and we're providing three.

MR. FIORLETTI: The question is why not a couple of them in front of tenant A?

MR. RICCI: And that's a revision from your previous plan, too because you showed two on each pad here.

MR. FIORLETTI: In other words, the handicap spots, people parking in handicap spots will have to walk across to building A.

MR. COKER: I can explain that, this is Jeff with Cypress.

MR. FIORLETTI: Split them, or put
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the site through a two-way driveway which
would be located on the southern portion of the site, or they can come in through the main access road, and if a truck needed to access the site, a truck can enter the site and then come into the two lane access driveway which would be on the north portion of the site, enter the site, and as directed by your professionals, we'll add a stop sign and stop bar at this location just before a vehicle would enter the parking field.

Our current proposal has 47 parking stalls, whereby previously we had 43 , so we meet the parking setback, to property lines of required by 5 feet, and we're providing 19.27 feet, and then again, off street parking setback to a side or rear property line, again, we're meeting that, whereby 3 feet is required, and we're providing 6.21 feet.

Our setback to the RPZ zone is as identified as 17.85 feet and with this modification, we still meet the impervious coverage requirements, whereby 90 percent

1 is allowed, and we're providing 51 percent, 50.97 percent.

That's the summary of the modifications to the plans, if I may, I could show a proposed rendering and I will do that now.

MR. MICHALSKI: We'll mark this A-3.
MR. CURLEY: So, this would be a proposed rendering of our site and although we do indicate the existing retaining wall across the frontage of the property, we do not indicate any landscaping that has been installed across the exterior of the site, which is not on our lot.

Although we were able to make these modifications to the plan, the final details of all of the landscaping are going to need to be relooked at by your board's professionals, but this largely mimics what was previously submitted, and although we will probably add some additional landscaping because of our location of the parking stalls adjacent to the Route 9 area, again, it's almost identical.

We are providing buffering around the
them in the middle.
MR. COKER: We can't. The problem is that both the tenants that we're negotiating leases with want 5 dedicated parking spaces in front of their building. The only way we can do that if you'll zoom in to the handicap parking spaces, the only way we can pick up 11 parking, 12 parking spaces there including the handicap, we're only required to have 3 .

If you look at the far right side of the plan, you got two parking spaces, you got kind of like a little, not rectangular island and we can add a parking space to the left of it, and then you got a parking space for a handicap island, another handicap, and you start counting the parking spaces, I can get 5 and 5 for both tenants.

We would love to put the handicap parking spaces right in the middle of the building, that's what originally we did, but it doesn't allow us to give both tenants 5 designated parking spaces if they want to be able to say, if you park here,
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | if you're not a customer, you're gonna get | 1 | within 60 feet is adequate? |
| 2 | towed. | 2 | MR. RICCI: I don't know. |
| 3 | MR. RICCI: There's spaces on the | 3 | MR. KLEIN: Is there a code official |
| 4 | side there, why don't you put 3 on the | 4 | in town that could look at that and tell us |
| 5 | side? | 5 | what's going on? |
| 6 | MR. COKER: What do you mean on the | 6 | MR. PANTINA: I guess I would ask |
| 7 | side? | 7 | this question to Bob Curley, but Jeff, |
| 8 | MR. RICCI: You lost the spaces that | 8 | you've been. |
| 9 | were | 9 | Whatever, just listen, I get the 5 |
| 10 | MR. PANTINA: Unless you have the 3 | 10 | spaces in front of tenant A, that's what he |
| 11 | by the trash enclosure, that's not | 11 | wants, or that's what they want. Where the |
| 12 | considered part of, that wouldn't be | 12 | number 11 is, in the pocket there right in |
| 13 | considered part of tenant A's? | 13 | front of tenant A on the southerly corner |
| 14 | MR. MICHALSKI: And Robert, where the | 14 | right by the RPZ zone, you couldn't stick a |
| 15 | handicap spaces are, they'll comply with | 15 | handicap space right there? |
| 16 | ADA, correct? | 16 | MR. FIORLETTI: You could put them up |
| 17 | MR. CURLEY: That's correct, and the | 17 | to the curb, that's all and put the -- in |
| 18 | closest ADA parking stall is about 60 feet | 18 | other words -- |
| 19 | from the far building, far entrance. | 19 | MR. PANTINA: Well, I'm just |
| 20 | MR. COKER: So, when you try and put | 20 | asking, I'm just asking. So you wouldn't |
| 21 | the ADA parking in the front of both | 21 | be able to put like a handicap spot in that |
| 22 | buildings, you end up having to have | 22 | pocket where the number 11 is, right there, |
| 23 | basically, you lose 3 parking spaces | 23 | and if you had to narrow or modify the curb |
| 24 | because you can't fit a parking space to | 24 | a little bit, the curb line a little bit, |
| 25 | the left of the two parking spaces because | 25 | that island, to accommodate that, but then |
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| 1 | it's not a regular, it's not a handicap -- | 1 | that would give you, where you had that |
| 2 | it's not a regular 9 by 18 parking space, | 2 | horizontal dimension, that would give you |
| 3 | it's a configuration that would work for a | 3 | $1,2,3,4,5$ spots and then you got |
| 4 | handicap van, but it won't work for a | 4 | another spot next to the handicap spot. |
| 5 | parking space. | 5 | MR. COKER: Yep, if we can do that, |
| 6 | MR. RICCI: What is the distance to | 6 | if we can turn that island into the exit |
| 7 | the door from the furthest handicap space | 7 | space for the van, then absolutely and then |
| 8 | to the furthest tenant space? Can someone | 8 | you could move the second parking space |
| 9 | do the distance of that? The furthest | 9 | that's, move that to the middle of the |
| 10 | handicap space all the way to the right of | 10 | buildings and yeah, that would be perfect. |
| 11 | the plan view, to the door of the, looking | 11 | MR. PANTINA: I'm just trying to |
| 12 | in plan view of the left tenant space. How | 12 | come up with an idea to accommodate the |
| 13 | far is that? | 13 | question, I know you said you don't need |
| 14 | MR. CURLEY: It's approximately 78 | 14 | that many handicap spots. |
| 15 | feet. | 15 | MR. COKER: Yeah, we need 3. |
| 16 | MR. COKER: I think it's 64. | 16 | MR. PANTINA: But you don't |
| 17 | MR. RICCI: Is that reasonable? | 17 | necessarily need 3 on tenant B either, |
| 18 | MR. FIORLETTI: Should be able to fit | 18 | right? |
| 19 | them in there someplace, I'm not a handicap | 19 | MR. COKER: No, but I guarantee |
| 20 | person so -- | 20 | tenant B is not going to like it, but if we |
| 21 | MR. RICCI: Is that reasonable, Lee? | 21 | could somehow manufacture a van exit to the |
| 22 | MR. KLEIN: I don't know, I just know | 22 | left of the 11 , you know the parking spaces |
| 23 | I always heard it's supposed to be as close | 23 | got 11 in it, then that would solve all the |
| 24 | to the front door as you can make it, I | 24 | problems. Then we could move one -- |
| 25 | always thought, but you're telling me | 25 | MR. RICCI: For the record, I think |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | this is all absurd -- | 1 | gonna say? |
| 2 | MR. COKER: We definitely could | 2 | MR. COKER: They're gonna say parking |
| 3 | accommodate that. | 3 | for tenant A, violators will be towed, |
| 4 | MR. RICCI: I think this is all | 4 | tenant for parking B, violators will be |
| 5 | absurd, really, that a medical building is | 5 | towed. And they both want 5 right in front |
| 6 | objecting to having handicap spaces right | 6 | of their stores. |
| 7 | in front of it, it's absurd, it really is | 7 | MR. KLEIN: When you say store, these |
| 8 | absurd. | 8 | are -- |
| 9 | MR. KLEIN: I agree. | 9 | MR. RICCI: How do both of them get |
| 10 | MR. COKER: Well, I hear you, but the | 10 |  |
| 11 | tenant is going to look at it and say, I'm | 11 | MR. COKER: Yes, they both get, so |
| 12 | not going to have that many handicap people | 12 | the parking spaces -- |
| 13 | coming, I want my customers to be able to | 13 | MR. RICCI: I count 11 spaces and 3 |
| 14 | park in front of the building. | 14 | handicap along the frontage. So, how do |
| 15 | MR. RICCI: It's absolutely absurd. | 15 | they both get 5? So you have 13 minus 3 -- |
| 16 | MR. COKER: I don't disagree with | 16 | MR. PANTINA: 13 minus 3 is 10. |
| 17 | you, I'm just telling you what a retail | 17 | MR. MICHALSKI: 10 regular, 3 |
| 18 | tenant thinks. | 18 | handicap. |
| 19 | MR. RICCI: Well, I think at some | 19 | MR. COKER: So I'm saying the two |
| 20 | point you have to do something that's | 20 | that are kind of to the right of the |
| 21 | legal, and legal 101, you say like hey, you | 21 | handicap spaces, those are gonna be for |
| 22 | have to have handicap spaces in your -- and | 22 | tenant on the right side. So actually, |
| 23 | then it's just, whatever, I don't believe | 23 | oving one of the first handicap space all |
| 24 | we're talking about this. | 24 | e way up to the left where 11 is, and |
| 25 | MR. COKER: We'll do this, if we | 25 | move the second handicap space up right |
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| 1 | don't do what's on the screen right here, | 1 | into the middle, it's gonna give him better |
| 2 | if we can figure out how to move one space, | 2 | spacing right in front of his building |
| 3 | one handicap space all the way over to 11. | 3 | which is gonna make him happy. |
| 4 | MR. RICCI: These guys, they have | 4 | MR. KLEIN: I think it's more |
| 5 | no-- | 5 | efficient if you have the stripe in the |
| 6 | MR. COKER: It's equal on both sides, | 6 | middle and you have the handicap on either |
| 7 | so we'll do one of those two things. | 7 | side of it. |
| 8 | MR. RICCI: One of what two things? | 8 | MR. COKER: I totally agree. I |
| 9 | MR. COKER: Well, we'll either do | 9 | totally agree, but we'll do one or the |
| 10 | what's on the screen and just make the | 10 | other to make it work. |
| 11 | tenant accept it, or we'll go over to the | 11 | MR. KLEIN: I have a question about |
| 12 | 11, where the parking space says number 11, | 12 | signage when you get into signing. You're |
| 13 | and say if we can do the landscape island | 13 | not into signing yet, right? |
| 14 | over to the left of it, turn that into a | 14 | MR. MICHALSKI: Go ahead, what's the |
| 15 | handicap van exit, and then we'll move one | 15 | question? |
| 16 | of the far right handicap spaces to the | 16 | MR. KLEIN: Is there gonna be a sign |
| 17 | very middle of the buildings, and that way | 17 | for the one way entrance driveway, are you |
| 18 | we've got one on the far left, one right in | 18 | gonna have a sign out at the corner there |
| 19 | the middle, we've got one on the far right. | 19 | so people coming from the north, coming |
| 20 | MR. RINALDO: Just do it. | 20 | south, will know to come in that little one |
| 21 | MR. RICCI: So you're gonna have | 21 | way entrance driveway? |
| 22 | reserved signs out there, you're saying, | 22 | MR. COKER: Yeah, they're not going |
| 23 | reserved for just the one tenant? | 23 | to be able to, they're not going to be able |
| 24 | MR. COKER: Yes. | 24 | to jump over and get in the entrance to |
| 25 | MR. RICCI: What are those signs | 25 | turn right on to Route 1. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. KLEIN: No, no, no, no, no. | 1 | the end and then realizes that all of these |
| 2 | MR. MICHALSKI: If they're coming | 2 | parking stalls are filled, if he needs to |
| 3 | down from -- | 3 | make a turn around, if the vehicle needs to |
| 4 | MR. KLEIN: Inside the shopping | 4 | make a turn around, they can turn around in |
| 5 | center, they're coming south on the | 5 | this empty spot, do a K-turn, and then |
| 6 | shopping center lane and they want to make | 6 | leave the parking lot. Or alternatively, |
| 7 | a left turn in, rather than make a left | 7 | they can come in through the secondary |
| 8 | turn into the two-way driveway. | 8 | one-way driveway, come in through the site, |
| 9 | MR. CURLEY: If there's a sign on the | 9 | and negotiate the parking field, and then |
| 10 | corner, they'll know. | 10 | leave if they leave through the two-way |
| 11 | MR. KLEIN: Otherwise, why is there | 11 | entrance. |
| 12 | an entrance there? | 12 | MR. FIORLETTI: What prevents the |
| 13 | MR. CURLEY: So I guess the request | 13 | person that's coming off the highway to |
| 14 | would be to place a sign at this corner | 14 | come over into that lane? |
| 15 | entrance for -- | 15 | MR. CURLEY: I mean, we can sign it so |
| 16 | MR. KLEIN: Medical building -- | 16 | that there's no U-turn, that's not a |
| 17 | MR. CURLEY: Yeah, medical building. | 17 | problem, but the signage that's in place is |
| 18 | MR. KLEIN: Because I think it will | 18 | what was originally approved by the DOT, |
| 19 | help, it will reduce the number of left | 19 | this configuration of the driveway and the |
| 20 | turns at the full movement driveway. | 20 | entrance was all approved by the access |
| 21 | MR. CURLEY: Understood. | 21 | boards by the DOT. |
| 22 | MR. KLEIN: Because I'm a little | 22 | MR. FIORLETTI: I see a conflict |
| 23 | concerned about the left turns overlapping. | 23 | between somebody coming in off the highway |
| 24 | MR. COKER: Yeah, we can put up some | 24 | and then going over to the driveway. |
| 25 | kind of sign. | 25 | MR. PANTINA: Can you extend the |
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| 1 | MR. KLEIN: Yeah, because I don't see | 1 | island -- |
| 2 | more, I think you're gonna wind up with a | 2 | MR. FIORLETTI: Or put one curb up |
| 3 | lot of right turns in, and left turns back | 3 | the road a little bit. |
| 4 | out to Pleasant, that's where everybody is | 4 | MR. PANTINA: Hey Bob Curley, can |
| 5 | gonna come in and out of, it's a medical | 5 | you, I'm not sure whether this thing exists |
| 6 | building, correct? | 6 | out there now, I know you have a driveway |
| 7 | MR. COKER: Well, I also think a lot | 7 | coming off the highway, right around where |
| 8 | of people are gonna go out through the | 8 | your pointer is, so on the right side on |
| 9 | right and go back down to the main access | 9 | the northerly side, you got curbs going all |
| 10 | road. | 10 | the way up on top, right? Right where |
| 11 | MR. KLEIN: And avoid a signal on 1 | 11 | you're at, right there, stop the pointer, |
| 12 | and 9, right. | 12 | leave the pointer right there. So, from |
| 13 | MR. FIORLETTI: Put a sign and let | 13 | that point, from that point right where the |
| 14 | them go out the one driveway. | 14 | pointer is at, back to the conjoint where |
| 15 | MR. RICCI: I don't understand what | 15 | the two driveways meet, can you put like |
| 16 | you just said. | 16 | maybe a curb in there or maybe a false |
| 17 | MR. FIORLETTI: Eliminate the | 17 | island there? |
| 18 | entrance there, put a cul-de-sac at the end | 18 | MR. CURLEY: Yes, we can put a mount |
| 19 | of the parking lot so the people can turn | 19 | -- no problem. |
| 20 | around and go out the one driveway at the | 20 | MR. PANTINA: Wait, the question, |
| 21 | top. | 21 | the concern is I got two members here so |
| 22 | MR. MICHALSKI: That's, Robert just | 22 | the concern is that when you come in off |
| 23 | explain the driveway. | 23 | the highway, someone's gonna make a quick |
| 24 | MR. CURLEY: So, if a vehicle comes | 24 | left to get off the highway. So if you put |
| 25 | into the two-way entrance and comes down to | 25 | a barrier island or a curb, or a higher |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | curb, I guess it could be mounted, or it | 1 | MR. CURLEY: So, to answer your |
| 2 | would defeat the purpose. Now, if you do | 2 | question, I did have a conversation with |
| 3 | that, and you're coming in on the left, so | 3 | Karl Pehnke who is the traffic engineer of |
| 4 | it's gonna be like a hard thing, if they | 4 | record on the project. I had a discussion |
| 5 | did make the turn, and they're gonna have | 5 | with him as far as the total quantities of |
| 6 | to hop the curb, hop the island. | 6 | parking and with the proposed development |
| 7 | MR. FIORLETTI: And then maybe, a | 7 | as it exists, and what we're proposing the |
| 8 | couple of more arrows with -- going | 8 | traffic is comparable and/or less than peak |
| 9 | straight ahead, signage, in other words, | 9 | hours than what was previously approved. |
| 10 | painted sign on that first -- the driveway | 10 | MR. MICHALSKI: I have no further |
| 11 | coming in from Route 1, maybe make it | 11 | questions for Robert, so unless the board |
| 12 | one-way only or something like that, maybe | 12 | has anything further, we have our last |
| 13 | put some signage on that, or maybe another | 13 | witness, which is our planner. |
| 14 | couple of arrows after that driveway, the | 14 | MR. PANTINA: Do we have any |
| 15 | one-way driveway comes in -- | 15 | questions from any board members? |
| 16 | MR. COKER: Yeah, arrows, putting | 16 | MR. CABEZAS: I don't have a |
| 17 | more arrows is no big deal, and putting a | 17 | question, but I have a comment. |
| 18 | curb in will make it even harder to do a | 18 | MR. PANTINA: You can make whatever |
| 19 | U-turn, that's nothing. | 19 | you want, Felipe. |
| 20 | MR. FIORLETTI: That's only a signage | 20 | MR. CABEZAS: My comment is, why do |
| 21 | problem. I could see a conflict there. | 21 | we always have to reschedule, redraw, any |
| 22 | MR. CURLEY: What I can do is I can | 22 | plans that comes in front of us when they |
| 23 | show you the existing condition, what | 23 | basically know that this is not going to be |
| 24 | exists today, and this shows how the | 24 | approved the way that they show? So we |
| 25 | driveway comes in and then the curbing | 25 | spend 45 minutes rearranging any site |
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| 1 | comes to a pretty abrupt point and to make | 1 | plans. So, actually, we're doing their |
| 2 | that U-turn through here would be | 2 | job. I mean, this is uncalled for, this |
| 3 | difficult. I don't see a problem with | 3 | cannot be done. You come in with a plan, |
| 4 | extending the curb up another 25 to 30 feet | 4 | you come in with a rendering, show it |
| 5 | and we can also put signage, no left turn, | 5 | right, if it's not right, take it back and |
| 6 | mount them to the backs of these signs, | 6 | come back some other time. We spend 45 |
| 7 | that's not a problem. | 7 | minutes going on the same thing, oh, can we |
| 8 | MR. MICHALSKI: And just for the | 8 | put it here? You know you gotta put in 3 |
| 9 | transcript, we'll mark this exhibit of A-4, | 9 | or 4 handicap parking spaces, you know it's |
| 10 | it's a photo of the, we'll call it the | 10 | gotta be closest to the entrance door, why |
| 11 | southernmost driveway off of Route 1 and 9. | 11 | do we have to fool around like that? Why |
| 12 | MR. CURLEY: Got it. | 12 | do we have to sit here and listen to that? |
| 13 | MR. RICCI: I just want to copy down | 13 | You got 4 professionals in there, and they |
| 14 | the photo number, hold on. | 14 | all come up with the same thing, oh, we |
| 15 | MR. MICHALSKI: Robert, I just have | 15 | gotta do this, oh, maybe we can do this, |
| 16 | one last question which is, can you just | 16 | oh, maybe we can do that. This is uncalled |
| 17 | confirm that you had conferred regarding | 17 | for, that's my comment. |
| 18 | the trip generation and it still falls | 18 | MR. COKER: Well, a lot of it's |
| 19 | within the report that we submitted? | 19 | driven by what the tenants require. If we |
| 20 | MR. RICCI: Those trees are dead out | 20 | can't give the tenants what they want, they |
| 21 | there, I'll tell you that. | 21 | won't do a deal, so we don't have a |
| 22 | MR. COKER: We're getting that fixed. | 22 | development. That's typically what's |
| 23 | We sent a notice a week and a half ago, so | 23 | driving this. |
| 24 | I think they're supposed to have them all | 24 |  |
| 25 | replaced by Friday. | 25 | thank you for your comment, Felipe. I |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | don't have an answer for you, but we can | 1 | adjacent zone, please do that. |
| 2 | talk about it the future. | 2 | MR. HUGHES: Yeah, likewise with this |
| 3 | Does anybody else have any questions? | 3 | one, I think there's some question as to |
| 4 | MR. LOSPINOSO: Just a quick one, | 4 | whether it's actually required. As Paul |
| 5 | Jeff, you said that you don't have a tenant | 5 | points out in his review letter, the PCD |
| 6 | for this particular PAD site, right? | 6 | zone requirement, which is that any |
| 7 | MR. COKER: No, I have two. | 7 | building must be setback 25 feet from other |
| 8 | MR. LOSPINOSO: Oh, Im sorry, what | 8 | zones, that actually predates the creation |
| 9 | are the names of the tenants, again? | 9 | of the RPZ overlay. |
| 10 | MR. COKER: The one on the left is | 10 | So, I think from a planning |
| 11 | AFC -- Alex, you always do this to me. AFC | 11 | standpoint, the intent of that provision is |
| 12 | Urgent Care, and the one on the right is | 12 | to make sure all the buildings that are |
| 13 | Aspen Dental. | 13 | constructed within the PCD zone, |
| 14 | MR. LOSPINOSO: Okay, thank you, | 14 | specifically within Legacy Square, are set |
| 15 | Jeff. | 15 | back from the surrounding zone. So, if you |
| 16 | MR. RICCI: AFC Urgent Care and Aspen | 16 | have industrial, commercial, residential |
| 17 | Dental. | 17 | zones surrounding this property, these |
| 18 | MR. PANTINA: Okay, thank you, I' | 18 | buildings need to be set back 25 feet. |
| 19 | like to open it up to the public. Is there | 19 | The RPZ is an overlay, it's a really |
| 20 | anybody in the public that has any | 20 | unique zone that was implemented based on |
| 21 | questions or comments? | 21 | requirements from the FAA. This building |
| 22 | MR. MICHALSKI: We have one more | 22 | is going to be set back 17.85 feet. As you |
| 23 | witness. | 23 | heard, we do require FAA approval, amended |
| 24 | MR. PANTINA: Oh, I thought you | 24 | approval of what we already maintained. |
| 25 | said you were done. | 25 | So, the city will have assurance that any |
|  | Page 50 |  | Page 52 |
| 1 | R. MICHALSKI: No, we have Keenan, | 1 | safety hazards related to this setback will |
| 2 | our planner. | 2 | addr |
| 3 | MR. PANTINA: Okay | 3 | review. |
| 4 | MR. MICHALSKI: And we're down to one | 4 | So, I think first of all, I don't |
| 5 | variance so this should be quick. If I | 5 | think it necessarily applies to this |
| 6 | could just have Keenan Sworn in again? | 6 | particular property, but even if it does, |
| 7 |  | 7 | the setback that's being provided here is |
| 8 | ENAN HUGHES, H-U-G-H-E-S, with a business | 8 | sufficient, and the city can be assured |
|  | ress of 33-41 Newark Street, Third Floor, | 9 | that any potential issues will be addressed |
| 10 | , D, Hoboken, New Jersey, having been duly | 10 | in the context of this amended approval |
| 11 | orn, testified as follows: | 11 | from the FAA. |
| 12 |  | 12 | So, this is a variance that can be |
| 13 | MR. HUGHES: I'm a licensed | 13 | justified, I would say on a C1 basis. It's |
| 14 | professional planner, a member of the | 14 | a fairly constrained site with respect to |
| 15 | American Institute of Certified Planners, I | 15 | conformance of that RPZ setback, given the |
| 16 | have frequently appeared as an expert -- | 16 | facts that we do have this sanitary sewer |
| 17 | MR. RINALDO: Accepted. | 17 | easement across the property. But it also |
| 18 | MR. MICHALSKI: Thank you, and | 18 | furthers purposes K and G of the MLUL in |
| 19 | Keenan, based upon the prior discussion | 19 | terms of facilitating this overall planned |
| 20 | with Mr. Ricci, he's agreed that we don't | 20 | commercial development, accommodating a |
| 21 | need a variance for the sign location for | 21 | commercial use in a very appropriate |
| 22 | the justification. | 22 | location. |
| 23 | So, if you could just walk the board | 23 | And in terms of the negative criteria, |
| 24 | through the variance that we're seeking for | 24 | ere's no substantial detriment to the |
| 25 | the principal building setbacks to the | 25 | public good, and certainly no substantial |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | impairment of the zoning ordinance in the | 1 | MR. PANTINA: Okay, red |
| 2 | master plan. | 2 | construction light, got it. |
| 3 | That concludes my testimony, John. | 3 | Okay, very good. I'd like to close |
| 4 | MR. MICHALSKI: And that opened up | 4 | the public hearing on this and seeing no |
| 5 | Keenan to the board for any questions. | 5 | further questions, I'd like to have a |
| 6 | MR. RICCI: Does everyone understand | 6 | motion to move on this application with the |
| 7 | the issue there? Does everyone follow | 7 | variances discussed, and the conditions |
| 8 | that? Does everyone follow the issue or | 8 | discussed, and regardless of what we do, |
| 9 | no? | 9 | well, actually if we do a positive motion, |
| 10 | And Mr. Hughes, the only reason I | 10 | it's going to be conditional on FAA |
| 11 | think conservatively it's needed is because | 11 | approval. |
| 12 | in section 313.1, it identifies the RPZ | 12 | MR. FIORLETTI: Armand Fiorletti |
| 13 | zone as a zoning district study, so I'm not | 13 | moved what Nick Pantina said and approves |
| 14 | sure how you can differentiate, even though | 14 | SP-1132-20, medical building. |
| 15 | I agree with everything you said. | 15 | MR. RINALDO: I'm just going to say |
| 16 | I think it's just a consequence of | 16 | Mr. Michalski, make sure when you resubmit |
| 17 | having an RPZ added and layered, no one | 17 | that plan that we're talking about, the |
| 18 | anticipated this. | 18 | left on the part with the signage, that |
| 19 | MR. HUGHES: I agree. | 19 | it's on there and it's subject to approval |
| 20 | MR. PANTINA: Do we have any | 20 | by the board, especially as to what |
| 21 | questions from the board for this witness? | 21 | everybody's doing with the parking, with |
| 22 | Do we have any questions from the | 22 | the left turn, and so forth, and moving the |
| 23 | public? | 23 | curbing down. You follow me? |
| 24 | I don't see any hands up on the right, | 24 | MR. PANTINA: So, I need a second |
| 25 | it seems like everybody is over on the | 25 | on Armand's motion, I'll second it. Can I |
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| 1 | left. | 1 | have a roll call, please? |
| 2 | I'd like to close the public hearing. | 2 | MS. KOTOWSKI: Chairman LaPlaca? |
| 3 | MR. MICHALSKI: I'm sorry, I just had | 3 | MR. LAPLACA: Yes. |
| 4 | one more comment I wanted to make which is | 4 | MS. KOTOWSKI: Armand Fiorletti? |
| 5 | early on when we had testified with regard | 5 | MR. FIORLETTI: Yes. |
| 6 | to that FAA approval and concept building, | 6 | MS. KOTOWSKI: Nicholas Pantina? |
| 7 | that they had required a light on the | 7 | MR. PANTINA: Yes. |
| 8 | corner of the building, the roof of the | 8 | MS. KOTOWSKI: Councilman Javick? |
| 9 | building because it's close to the RPZ | 9 | MR. JAVICK: Yes. |
| 10 | zone. | 10 | MS. KOTOWSKI: Curtis Humphrey? |
| 11 | So, it's not shown on the plan, | 11 | MR. HUMPHREY: Yes. |
| 12 | obviously that will be depicted once the | 12 | MS. KOTOWSKI: Felipe Cabezas? |
| 13 | FAA approval is granted, but I just want to | 13 | Felipe? |
| 14 | make the board aware that there will be | 14 | MR. PANTINA: He's gone. |
| 15 | probably one, I believe it will only be one | 15 | MS. KOTOWSKI: Michael Anderson? |
| 16 | light that they'll require to be on the | 16 | MR. ANDERSON: Yes. |
| 17 | roof of the building. Most likely the | 17 | MS. KOTOWSKI: Frank Hetem? |
| 18 | closest corner to the RPZ line. | 18 | MR. HETEM: Yes. |
| 19 | MR. PANTINA: So, it's an | 19 | MS. KOTOWSKI: Noyo Edem? |
| 20 | obstruction light? | 20 | MS. EDEM: Yes. |
| 21 | MR. CURLEY: That's correct, it's an | 21 | MS. KOTOWSKI: Alex Lospinoso? |
| 22 | L-810 signal red steady, burning light. | 22 | MR. LOSPINOSO: Yes. |
| 23 | MR. PANTINA: What's the number on | 23 | MR. PANTINA: Thank you. |
| 24 | it that you said? | 24 | MR. CABEZAS: They didn't call me. |
| 25 | MR. CURLEY: L-810. | 25 | MR. PANTINA: They did call you, |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 you didn't respond. |  |
| 2 MR. CABEZAS: You couldn't hear. |  |
| 3 MR. PANTINA: Did you respond yes |  |
| 4 or no? |  |
| 5 MR. CABEZAS: I didn't respond |  |
| 6 because I didn't hear my name. |  |
| 7 MR. PANTINA: Felipe Cabezas? |  |
| 8 MR. CABEZAS: There you are, yes. |  |
| 9 |  |
| 10 (Hearing was adjourned at 9:12 p.m.) |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
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| 2 |  |
| 3 I, DEANNA WIZBICKI, being a Registered |  |
| 4 Court Reporter and Notary Public within and for |  |
| 5 the State of New Jersey, do hereby certify that |  |
| 6 the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of |  |
| 7 the telephonic proceedings. |  |
| 8 |  |
| 9 |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 DeAnNa wizbicki |  |
| DEANNA WIZBICKI, <br> Registered License No: |  |
| 13 Notary ID No: 2330518 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 DATED: |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 This transcript is not to be |  |
| 21 copied unless under the direct control and |  |
| 22 supervision of the certifying reporter. |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |


|  | 51:1 | anticipated (1) | 12:25 | bigger (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | adjourned (1) | 53:18 | assurance (1) | 11:11 |
|  | 57:10 | appeared (2) | 51:25 | bisects (1) |
| A-1 (1) | adjusted (1) | 27:2;50:16 | assured (1) | 28:9 |
| 21:5 | 19:16 | applicant (1) | 52:8 | bit (3) |
| A-2 (1) | AFC (3) | 8:1 | attorney (1) | 35:24,24;44:3 |
| 27:7 | 49:11,11,16 | application (9) | 25:3 | block (1) |
| A-3 (2) | affect (1) | 5:11;7:7,9;9:13,22; | attract (1) | 16:13 |
| 24:6;30:7 | 6:8 | 16:15;17:17;21:14; | 16:24 | board (26) |
| A-4 (1) | $\underset{5 \cdot 13 \cdot 6 \cdot 3}{\text { again (14) }}$ | 55:6 | audible (1) | $7: 12 ; 9: 5,8 ; 12: 5$ |
| 46:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:13;6:3;14:25; } \\ & 20 \cdot 17 \cdot 20 \cdot 4819.28 . \end{aligned}$ | applies (2) | 20:16 | 14:25;15:15,19;16:21; |
| able (8) ${ }_{\text {a }}$ (8.23.30.15.32.25. | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 17 ; 22: 4,8,19 ; 28: 5, \\ & 18 ; 29: 17,19 ; 30: 24 ; \end{aligned}$ | 25:8;52:5 appropriate | August (2) <br> 14:10,10 | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 15 ; 20: 4 ; 21: 1,6 \\ & 24: 19 ; 26: 15,23,25 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:23;30:15;32:25; } \\ & 34: 18 ; 35: 21 ; 37: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $49: 9 ; 50: 6$ | $52: 21$ | available (1) | $27: 1,3,9 ; 47: 11,15$ |
| 40:23,23 | ago (2) | approval (49 | 7:15 | 50:23;53:5,21;54:14; |
| above (1) | 17:9;46:23 | 5:16,17;6:5;8:3,14, | Aviation (1) | 55:20 |
| 23:22 | agree (8) | 17,18,19;9:3,7,9,11; | 12:24 | boards (1) |
| abrupt (1) | 18:3,10;25:8;37:9 | 11:19,20,21,23,24; | avoid (1) | 43:21 |
| 46:1 | 40:8,9;53:15,19 | 12:22,24;13:2,2,4,4,6, | 2:11 | board's (2) |
| Absolutely (3) | agreed (1) | 22,25;14:1,4;15:6,8,10, | aware (1) | 8:17;30:18 |
| 15:21;36:7;37:15 | 50:20 | 16;16:3,17,21;17:5,8, | 54:14 | Bob (2) |
| absurd (5) | ahead (4) 7:6;20:11;40:14; | $\begin{aligned} & 10,13,13,15 ; 28: 12 \\ & 51: 23,24 ; 52: 10 ; 54: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \mathbf{a w a y}(\mathbf{1}) \\ 11: 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 35: 7 ; 44 \\ \text { both (9) } \end{array}$ |
| abut (1) | 45:9 | 13;55:11,19 |  | 32:3,18,23;33:21; |
| 24:24 | airport (1) | approvals (1) | B | 38:6;39:5,9,11,15 |
| accept (1) | 12:15 | 12:9 |  | boundaries (1) |
| 38:11 | Alex (2) | approve (3) | bachelor (1) | 17:24 |
| Accepted (3) | 49:11;56:2 | 14:4,5;15:2 | 26:20 | Brad (10) |
| 21:2;27:4;50:17 | alignment (1) | approved (9) $6: 22 \cdot 11: 7 \cdot 16: 2.17$ a | $\begin{aligned} & \text { back (15) } \\ & 6: 24 ; 7: 5 ; 9: \end{aligned}$ | $10: 4 ; 19: 22 ; 20: 14,20$, $24 ; 21 \cdot 3 ; 23: 25: 24: 5,19$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { access }(5) \\ & 29: 4,5,6 ; 42: 9 ; 43: 20 \end{aligned}$ | allow (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 22 ; 11: 7 ; 16: 2 ; 17: 6 \\ & 28: 15 ; 43: 18,20 ; 47: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:24;7:5;9:10;13:8; } \\ & \text { 16:12,20;18:20;42:3,9; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 ; \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| accommodate (3) | 32:23 | 24 | 44:14;48:5,6;51:15,18, | break (2) |
| 35:25;36:12;37:3 | allowed (1) | approves (1) | 22 | 7:1;21:25 |
| accommodating (1) | 30:1 | 55:13 | backs (1) | breaks (1) |
| 52:20 | almost (2) | approving (2) | 46:6 | 22:5 |
| achieve (1) | 28:21;30:24 | 11:1,6 | balance (1) | brick (2) |
| 27:25 | along (3) | approximately (1) | 24:3 | 21:17;26:23 |
| across (6) | 7:24;31:4;39:1 | 34:14 | Bank (1) | Brielle (1) |
| 13:15,19;30:11,13; | alternate (2) | architect (2) | 26:11 | 26:25 |
| 31:21;52:17 | 26:24;27:1 | 19:23;20:25 | bar (1) | bring (2) |
| actual (1) | alternatively (1) | architectural (6) | 29:10 | 14:14;27:6 |
| 11:16 | 43:6 | 21:7,13,21;22:3,9; | barrier (1) | brought (3) |
| actually (9) | although (4) | 23:23 | 44:25 | 14:14,15;27:19 |
| 8:22;11:2;18:3; | 7:12;30:9,15,20 | area (14) | bars (1) | buffering (1) |
| 27:25;39:22;48:1;51:4, | always (4) | 11:9,10,11,12,13; | 10:13 | 30:25 |
| 8;55:9 | 34:23,25;47:21 | 22:21,22,22;25:15; | base (1) | building (72) |
| ADA (3) | 49:11 | 28:2,19,20;30:24;31:1 | $21: 19$ | $6: 13,14 ; 7: 11,23$ |
| 33:16,18,21 | amend (1) | arise (1) 23:8 | based (3) 15:6;50:19;51:20 | 8:12,23,25,25;9:2,7,12, <br> 17,21;10:1,1,8,10;11:1, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { add (3) } \\ & 29: 9 ; 30: 21 ; 32: 14 \end{aligned}$ | amended (12) | Armand (2) | basically (3) | 2,6,14,15,23;13:20; |
| added (1) | 5:4;6:5,21;10:19; | 55:12;56:4 | 28:3;33:23;47:23 | 14:6,22;15:7,13;16:19, |
| 53:17 | 15:17;16:16;17:4,7,13, | Armand's (1) | basis (2) | 23;17:11,14,23,25; |
| additional (1) | 14;51:23;52:10 | 55:25 | 14:8;52:13 | 18:19,22,24,25;19:16; |
| 30:21 | American (1) | around (8) | beginning (1) | 21:10,15;22:6,11,13, |
| address (4) | 50:15 | 30:25;31:1 | 14:11 | 15,17;24:1,12;25:9,9, |
| 18:8;20:20;26:10; | amount (2) | 43:3,4,4;44:7;48:11 | Bell (1) | 13;27:15;28:5,14; |
| 50:9 | 16:25;25:1 | arrows (4) | 14:19 | 31:21;32:5,22;33:19; |
| addressed (3) | and/or (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45:8,14,16,17 } \\ & \text { A's (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { benefit (1) } \\ 26: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 37:5,14;40:2;41:16,17; } \\ & \text { 42:6;50:25;51:7,21; } \end{aligned}$ |
| adequate (1) | Anderson (2) | A3:13 | better (3) | 54:6,8,9,17;55:14 |
| adequate (1) $35: 1$ | 56:15,16 | Aspen (2) | 7:15;8:6;40:1 | buildings (7) |
| adjacent (4) | anticipate (4) | 49:13,16 | big (1) | 21:24;23:11;33:22; |
| 17:19;28:20;30:23; | 10:25;11:5,17;17:12 | Association (1) | 45:17 | 36:10;38:17;51:12,18 |


| built (1) | 28:24 | concerned (2) | 12:22 | customers (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:23 | city (3) | 7:25;41:23 | coordinates (7) | 37:13 |
| burning (1) | 12:18;51:25;52:8 | conclude (1) | 8:23;9:1,6;11:14; | Cypress (2) |
| 54:22 | clarification (1) | 19:25 | 14:16,20,23 | 13:8;31:24 |
| buses (1) | 10:17 | concludes (1) | copy (1) |  |
| 13:17 | clear (1) | 53:3 | 46:13 | D |
| business (3) | 25:7 | condition (8) | corner (14) |  |
| 20:20;26:9;50:8 | close (4) | 8:18;11:20;12:1; | 14:17,15:14;18:24; | darker (1) |
|  | 34:23;54:2,9;55:3 | 13:1,5;16:7,8;45:23 | 19:1;25:13, $17,23,25$; | 27:14 |
| C | closer (2) | conditional (1) | 35:13;40:18;41:10,14; | dated (6) |
|  | 10:9;28:14 | 55:10 | 54:8,18 | 5:10;17:10;19:7,9, |
| C1 (1) | closest (4) | conditioning (2) | corners (1) | 10,12 |
| 52:13 | 15:14;33:18;48:10; | 12:8;13:25 | 9:1 | days (1) |
| CABEZAS (8) | 54:18 | conditions (1) | Councilman (1) | 7:19 |
| 47:16,20;56:12,24; | code (2) | 55:7 | 56:8 | dead (1) |
| 57:2,5,7,8 | 12:4;35:3 | conferred (1) | count (1) | 46:20 |
| calculations (1) | Coker (30) | 46:17 | 39:13 | deal (3) |
| 23:7 | 8:1;13:7,14;31:23; | configuration (7) | counting (1) | 8:11;45:17;48:21 |
| call (4) | 32:2;33:6,20;34:16; | 27:15,16,17,24; | 32:17 | decision (1) |
| $46: 10 ; 56: 1,24,25$ | 36:5,15,19;37:2,10,16, | 28:22;34:3;43:19 | couple (5) | 12:10 |
| calling (1) | 25;38:6,9,24;39:2,11, | confirm (1) | 7:19;24:10;31:15; | decisions (1) |
| 19:21 | 19;40:8,22;41:24;42:7; | 46:17 | 45:8,14 | $15: 23$ |
| can (55) | 45:16;46:22;48:18; | conflict (2) | court (1) | dedicated (1) |
| $6: 17 ; 7: 4 ; 8: 5,7,7,9$ | 49:7,10 | 43:22;45:21 | 12:8 | 32:4 |
| $17,21 ; 12: 13 ; 20: 15$ | College (1) | conformance (1) | coverage (1) | defeat (1) |
| 21:3,15,22;24:24;26:5, | 26:21 | 52:15 | 29:25 | 45:2 |
| 14;27:6,11,13;28:25; | color (2) | conjoint (1) | covered (1) | definitely (1) |
| 29:3,5;31:23;32:6,8,14, | 22:2;24:7 | 44:14 | 19:5 | $37: 2$ |
| 18;34:8,24;36:5,6; | colors (1) | connection (2) | create (1) | definition (1) |
| $38: 2,13 ; 41: 24 ; 42: 19$ | $21: 18$ | 17:17;19:15 | 11:18 | 19:2 |
| $43: 4,7,15,25 ; 44: 4,15$ | coming (11) | consequence (2) | creation (1) | Dental (2) |
| $18 ; 45: 22,22 ; 46: 5,16$ | $7: 5 ; 37: 13 ; 40: 19,19$ | 18:9;53:16 | $51: 8$ | $49: 13,17$ |
| $47: 18 ; 48: 7,15,16 ; 49: 1$ | 41:2,5;43:13,23;44:7; | conservative (3) | criteria (1) | depends (1) |
| 52:8,12;53:14;55:25 | 45:3,11 | 18:2,11;19:3 | 52:23 | 25:18 |
| canopies (2) | comment (6) | conservatively (1) | cul-de-sac (1) | depicted (1) |
| 21:21;22:4 | 18:3;47:17,20;48:17, | 53:11 | 42:18 | 54:12 |
| Care (2) | 25;54:4 | considered (2) | cultured (1) | depiction (1) |
| $49: 12,16$ | comments (2) | 33:12,13 | 21:19 | 23:7 |
| case (3) | 27:10;49:21 | considering (1) | curb (10) | design (5) |
| $7: 12,16,21$ | commercial (4) | $11: 25$ | $35: 17,23,24 ; 44: 2,16$ | $22: 7,9,10 ; 23: 23$ |
| caveat (1) | 16:13;51:16;52:20, | consistent (1) | 25;45:1,6,18;46:4 | $24: 12$ |
| $24: 8$ | $21$ | $22: 9$ | curbing (2) | designated (1) |
| center (2) | comparable (1) | constrained (2) | 45:25;55:23 | $32: 24$ |
| 41:5,6 | 47:8 | 28:7;52:14 | curbs (1) | designing (1) |
| certain (2) | comparison (1) | constraints (1) | 44:9 | 24:1 |
| 16:25;17:1 | 27:8 | 28:16 | Curley (29) | detail (2) |
| certainly (1) | compliance (3) | constructed (1) | 7:20;15:4,5,21; | 17:3;18:15 |
| 52:25 | 5:15;23:5,8 | 51:13 | 19:24;20:7;26:6,7,9, | details (1) |
| Certified (1) | comply (7) | construction (1) | 17;27:11;30:8;31:9; | 30:17 |
| 50:15 | 19:13;22:20,25; | 55:2 | 33:17;34:14;35:7;41:9, | detriment (1) |
| Chairman (1) | 23:13,20;25:10;33:15 | consultant (1) | 13,17,21;42:24;43:15; | 52:24 |
| 56:2 | complying (1) | 11:3 | 44:4,18;45:22;46:12; | Development (11) |
| change (5) | 22:21 | context (3) | 47:1;54:21,25 | 7:10;12:20,23;16:12, |
| 6:3,4,13;24:13;27:24 | comprised (1) | 25:11;52:2,10 | C-U-R-L-E-Y (1) | 14;17:12;21:24;22:10; |
| changed (4) | 21:15 | control (1) | 26:9 | 47:6;48:22;52:20 |
| 7:21,22,23;10:22 | concept (4) | 5:9 | current (1) | different (2) |
| changes (4) | 8:11,25;17:11;54:6 | conversation (1) | 29:13 | 8:12;21:18 |
| 7:20;22:1,2;27:9 | conceptual (1) | 47:2 | currently (1) | differentiate (1) |
| changing (1) | 9:15 | cooperation (1) | 23:17 | 53:14 |
| 24:9 | conceptually (1) | 12:19 | Curtis (1) | difficult (1) |
| chat (1) | 25:23 | coordinate (1) | 56:10 | 46:3 |
| $7: 7$ | concern (2) | $14: 3$ | customer (1) | dimension (1) |
| circulation (1) | $44: 21,22$ | coordinated (1) | $33: 1$ | $36: 2$ |


| direct (1) | 56:19,20 | exactly (2) | 17:15 | 9:10;23:14;55:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24:19 | efficient (1) | 14:1;19:19 | features (3) | forward (2) |
| directed (1) | 40:5 | executive (1) | 17:1;21:21;22:4 | 8:16;10:16 |
| 29:9 | effort (1) | 10:14 | Federal (1) | foundation (1) |
| disagree (2) | 28:11 | exhibit (5) | 12:24 | 31:3 |
| 13:24;37:16 | EFIS (1) | 19:18;21:12;27:8,13; | feel (1) | four (1) |
| disapproved (1) | 21:16 | 46:9 | 22:4 | 9:1 |
| 13:15 | either (3) | exhibits (1) | feet (17) | Frank (1) |
| discretion (1) | 36:17;38:9;40:6 | 24:16 | 13:21;17:24;18:1; | 56:17 |
| 19:3 | elegant (1) | existing (3) | 22:24;23:22;29:16,17, | frequently (1) |
| discussed (4) | 22:6 | 28:8;30:10;45:23 | 20,21,23;33:18;34:15; | 50:16 |
| 19:18;23:3;55:7,8 | elevations (2) | exists (3) | 35:1;46:4;51:7,18,22 | Friday (1) |
| discussion (2) | 23:12,16 | 44:5;45:24;47:7 | Felipe (5) | 46:25 |
| 47:4;50:19 | Eliminate (1) | exit (3) | 47:19;48:25;56:12, | front (19) |
| displayed (1) | 42:17 | 36:6,21;38:15 | 13;57:7 | 5:9;10:22;12:12; |
| 27:12 | else (2) | expert (2) | field (2) | 18:19;21:20;22:15; |
| distance (2) | 16:1;49:3 | 20:25;50:16 | 29:12;43:9 | 23:12;31:7,15;32:5; |
| 34:6,9 | e-mail (1) | explain (6) | figure (1) | 33:21;34:24;35:10,13; |
| district (2) | 7:13 | 7:11,14;8:3;27:8; | 38:2 | 37:7,14;39:5;40:2; |
| 16:14;53:13 | empty (1) | 31:23;42:23 | file (2) | 47:22 |
| documentation (2) | 43:5 | extend (1) | 6:18;12:4 | frontage (2) |
| 23:15,21 | enclosure (1) | 43:25 | filed (1) | 30:11;39:14 |
| documents (2) | 33:11 | extending (1) | 5:10 | full (1) |
| 21:13;23:5 | end (5) | 46:4 | filled (1) | 41:20 |
| done (5) | 14:10,10;33:22; | extent (1) | 43:2 | functionally (1) |
| 12:1;13:16;24:16; | 42:18;43:1 | 18:16 | final (9) | 25:17 |
| 48:3;49:25 | ended (1) | exterior (1) | 5:12,24;6:1;16:16; | functions (1) |
| dont (1) | 28:17 | 30:13 | 17:8;23:4,9;26:1;30:16 | 25:23 |
| 10:13 | engineer (9) | eyes (1) | finalize (1) | further (4) |
| door (4) | 11:4;12:19;19:25; | 14:12 | 9:5 | 11:2;47:10,12;55:5 |
| 34:7,11,24;48:10 DOT (2) | 26:5,18,23,25;27:1; | F | finally (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { furthers (1) } \\ 52: 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| $43: 18,21$ | Engineering (2) | F | FIORLETTI (19) | furthest (3) |
| down (8) | 26:21,22 | FAA (43) | 6:8,12;31:6,14,19, | 34:7,8,9 |
| 21:25;22:5;41:3; | enter (4) | 8:3,14,19,21,22,24; | 25;34:18;35:16;42:13, | future (2) |
| 42:9,25;46:13;50:4; | 28:25;29:5,8,11 | 9:6,10,15,24;10:19,25; | 17;43:12,22;44:2;45:7, | 16:24;49:2 |
| 55:23 | entire (1) | $11: 5,13,19,20,22 ; 12: 2,$ | 20;55:12,12;56:4,5 | fuzzy (1) |
| driven (1) | 15:25 | 9,20,22;13:2,3,5,21; | fire (1) | 18:13 |
| 48:19 | entrance (13) | 14:1,5,8;15:5,17;16:3; | 19:11 |  |
| driveway (23) | 27:18,20;33:19; | 17:10,13;18:8;23:14, | first (9) | G |
| 7:21,22;27:18,20,21; | 40:17,21,24;41:12,15; | 20;28:11;51:21,23; | 8:17;9:9;19:22;20:4, |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 29: 1,7 ; 40: 17,21 ; 41: 8 \\ & 20 ; 42: 14,20,23 ; 43: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 18,25 ; 43: 11,20 \\ & 48: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $52: 11 ; 54: 6,13 ; 55: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11,13 ; 39: 23 ; 45: 10 \\ & 52: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { general (1) } \\ 24: 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| 19,24;44:6;45:10,14, | equal (1) | 21:12;22:1,3 | fit (3) | generation (1) |
| 15,25;46:11 | 38:6 | facilitating (1) | 24:1;33:24;34:18 | 46:18 |
| driveways (1) | especially (1) | 52:19 | fixed (1) | given (2) |
| 44:15 | 55:20 | facing (2) | 46:22 | 12:23;52:15 |
| driving (1) | essentially (1) | 18:22;21:11 | flexibility (1) | gives (1) |
| 48:23 | 15:9 | facts (1) | 17:1 | 22:6 |
| duly (3) | established (1) | 52:16 | Floor (1) | glass (1) |
| 20:22;26:11;50:10 | 24:2 | fair (2) | 50:9 | 21:20 |
| dumpster (1) | even (6) | 10:12;25:19 | follow (3) | goes (1) |
| 28:20 | 13:23;14:18;23:20; | fairly (1) | 53:7,8;55:23 | 8:22 |
| E | 45:18;52:6;53:14 everybody (4) | $52: 14$ falls (1) | follows (3) $20: 22: 26: 12: 50: 11$ | gonna (29) |
| L | everybody (4) $7: 4 ; 20: 15 ; 42: 4 ;$ | falis (1) $46: 18$ | fool (1) | 9:4;11:18;13:3,14; |
| earlier (3) | 53:25 | false (1) | 48:11 | 14:4;21:5;23:1;27:7; |
| 8:1;20:18;25:2 | everybody's (1) | 44:16 | foot (5) | 33:1;38:21;39:1,2,21; |
| early (3) | 55:21 | far (8) | 7:24;16:18;23:18,19; | 40:1,3,16,18;42:2,5,8; |
| 7:16;8:13;54:5 | everyone (5) | 32:11;33:19,19; | 25:19 | 44:23;45:4,5 |
| easement (4) | 27:11,13;53:6,7,8 | $34: 13 ; 38: 16,18,19$ | forever (1) | $\operatorname{good}(4)$ |
| 5:5,19;28:8;52:17 | exact (1) | $47: 5$ | 13:16 | 26:3,4;52:25;55:3 |
| Edem (2) | 28:6 | favorably (1) | forth (3) | gotta (3) |


| 48:8,10,15 | higher (1) | installed (1) | Kern (9) | 16:22;51:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| grant (2) | 44:25 | 30:13 | 19:22;20:14,15,20; | legal (4) |
| 13:4;17:15 | highway (5) | Institute (1) | 21:8;23:3;24:4,11;25:1 | 15:22,23;37:21,21 |
| granted (2) | 43:13,23;44:7,23,24 | 50:15 | K-E-R-N (1) | less (2) |
| 6:6;54:13 | Hoboken (1) | intend (1) | 20:20 | 22:22;47:8 |
| great (1) | 50:10 | 19:13 | kind (5) | letter (2) |
| 20:16 | hold (5) | intended (1) | 9:16;10:5;32:13; | 18:4;51:5 |
| guarantee (1) | 6:20;12:11,14;13:7; | 18:5 | 39:20;41:25 | letters (2) |
| 36:19 | 46:14 | intent (3) | Klein (20) | 19:14,15 |
| guess (5) | honestly (1) | 22:19,25;51:11 | 7:19,19;19:10;20:6; | level (1) |
| 5:3;24:8;35:6;41:13; | 15:19 | interpretation (1) | 27:19;34:22;35:3;37:9; | 11:15 |
| 45:1 | hop (2) | 12:17 | 39:7;40:4,11,16;41:1,4, | licensed (2) |
| guys (2) | 45:6,6 | into (11) | 11,16,18,22;42:1,11 | 26:17;50:13 |
| 15:18;38:4 | hopefully (1) | 18:14;28:25;29:6; | Klein's (1) | light (6) |
| H | 7:4 horizontal (1) | $36: 6 ; 38: 14 ; 40: 1,12,13 ;$ $41: 8 \cdot 42: 25 ; 43: 14$ | 27:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 13 ; 54: 7,16,20,22 \text {; } \\ & 55: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| H | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { horizontal (1) } \\ 36: 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 41: 8 ; \\ \text { Ion (1) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { knew (1) } \\ 8: 24 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| half (2) | hours (2) | 27:2 | KOTOWSKI (10) | 27:16 |
| 7:23;46:23 | 10:23;47:9 | island (9) | 56:2,4,6,8,10,12,15, | likely (1) |
| handicap (33) | Hughes (6) | 32:14,16;35:25;36:6; | 17,19,21 | 54:17 |
| 31:7,9,12,20,20;32:7, | 20:1;50:8,13;51:2; | . 38:13;44:1,17,25;45:6 | K-turn (1) | likewise (3) |
| 9,16,17,20;33:15;34:1, | 53:10,19 | issue (7) | 43:5 | 28:9;31:1;51:2 |
| 4,7,10,19;35:15,21; $36 \cdot 4,14 \cdot 37 \cdot 6,12,22$ | H-U-G-H-E-S (1) $50 \cdot 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11:5,11,19;18:8; } \\ & 25: 11: 53: 7.8 \end{aligned}$ | L | Linden (2) $7 \times 10 \cdot 16 \cdot 12$ |
| $38: 3,15,16 ; 39: 14,18$ | Humphrey (2) | issued (4) | L | line (6) |
| 21,23,25;40:6;48:9 | 56:10,11 | 15:5,9,16;28:12 | L-810 (2) | 7:24;10:9;28:10; |
| handling (1) |  | issues (3) | 54:22,25 | 29:19;35:24;54:18 |
| 20:1 | I | 10:25;19:5;52:9 | landscape (1) | lines (1) |
| hands (1) |  |  | 38:13 | 29:15 |
| 53:24 | idea (1) | J | landscaped (1) | listen (2) |
| happened (1) | 36:12 |  | 25:15 | 35:9;48:12 |
| 7:14 | identical (1) | Javick (2) | landscaping (3) | little (9) |
| happy (1) | 30:24 | 56:8,9 | 30:12,17,22 | $18: 13,21 ; 24: 8 ; 32: 13$ |
| 40:3 | identified (2) | Jeff (7) | lane (3) | $35: 24,24 ; 40: 20 ; 41: 22$ |
| hard (1) | 15:11;29:23 | 8:1;13:8,24;31:24; | 29:6;41:6;43:14 | $44: 3$ |
| 45:4 | identifies (1) | 35:7;49:5,15 | LaPlaca (2) | LLC (1) |
| harder (1) | 53:12 | Jersey (4) | 56:2,3 | 16:13 |
| 45:18 | $\mathbf{I m}(1)$ | 20:21;26:11,19; | largely (2) | located (3) |
| hazards (1) | 49:8 | 50:10 | 28:7;30:19 | 10:9;17:25;29:2 |
| $52: 1$ | impact (2) | job (1) | last (7) | location (6) |
| hear (10) | $6: 15,16$ | $48: 2$ | $7: 18,18 ; 8: 15 ; 10: 22$ | 18:13;28:4;29:10; |
| 7:5;8:5,7,8,9;16:2; | impairment (1) | John (8) | 19:25;46:16;47:12 | 30:22;50:21;52:22 |
| 20:15;37:10;57:2,6 | $53: 1$ | 5:3;8:2;13:7;16:2; | later (1) | locations (1) |
| heard (2) | impervious (1) | 22:11;24:16;26:2;53:3 | 24:22 | $18: 18$ |
| 34:23;51:23 | 29:24 | John's (1) | layered (1) | long (1) |
| hearing (3) | implemented (1) | 7:14 | 53:17 | 9:11 |
| 54:2;55:4;57:10 | 51:20 | jump (1) | layout (6) | longer (1) |
| heavier (1) | important (1) | $40: 24$ | $8: 12,20 ; 11: 6,18$ | 19:1 |
| 28:10 | 9:8 | justification (1) | $17: 14 ; 19: 17$ | look (5) |
| height (3) | inches (2) | 50:22 | leases (1) | 8:21;19:1;32:11; |
| 11:14;22:23;24:14 | 23:13;24:10 | justified (1) | 32:4 | 35:4;37:11 |
| Heights (1) | included (1) | 52:13 | leave (5) | looked (1) |
| $27: 2$ | 21:12 |  | 19:2;43:6,10,10 | $11: 13$ |
| help (1) | including (1) | K | $44: 12$ | looking (4) |
| 41:19 <br> here's (1) | $32: 9$ indicate (2) |  | Lee (3) $7 \cdot 19.19 \cdot 34 \cdot 21$ | 10:21;14:24;21:11; $34: 11$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { here's (1) } \\ 8: 10 \end{gathered}$ | indicate (2) $30: 10,12$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Karl (1) } \\ 47: 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 19,19 ; 34: 21 \\ & \text { left (19) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34: 11 \\ \text { lose (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Hetem (2) | indicated (1) | Keenan (5) | 32:15;33:25;34:12; | 33:23 |
| 56:17,18 | 15:7 | 50:1,6,8,19;53:5 | 36:22;38:14,18;39:24; | LOSPINOSO (5) |
| hey (2) | industrial (1) | keep (1) | 41:7,7,19,23;42:3; | 49:4,8,14;56:21,22 |
| 37:21;44:4 | 51:16 | 9:10 | 44:24;45:3;46:5;49:10; | lost (1) |
| high (1) | inside (2) | keeping (1) | 54:1;55:18,22 | 33:8 |
| 22:24 | 13:10;41:4 | 28:2 | Legacy (2) | $\boldsymbol{l o t}(20)$ |


| 7:23;13:12,18;16:13; | 22:12;23:25 | 7:6;8:16;10:16;26:5; | 26:21 | 22;50:4;51:3;53:17; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:12;18:24;19:1; | mentions (1) | 36:8,9,24;38:2,15; | no- (1) | 54:4,15,15 |
| 25:13,17,24,25;27:16, | 23:18 | 39:25;55:6 | 38:5 | one's (1) |
| 25;28:18;30:14;42:3,7, | mic (1) | moved (2) | nobody (1) | 18:13 |
| 19;43:6;48:18 | 10:15 | 7:23;55:13 | 5:1 | one-way (3) |
| love (1) | mic'd (1) | movement (1) | nor (1) | 43:8;45:12,15 |
| 32:20 | 7:4 | 41:20 | 10:22 | online (1) |
|  | Michael (1) | moving (3) | north (2) | 7:6 |
| M | 56:15 | 8:14;39:23;55:22 | 29:7;40:19 | only (10) |
|  | MICHALSKI (48) | much (3) | northerly (1) | 6:20;11:13;31:7; |
| main (2) | 5:7,14,20,23;6:4,14, | 20:10;27:5;28:6 | 44:9 | 32:6,7,10;45:12,20; |
| 29:4;42:9 | 19;8:4,7,10;9:17,23; | multiple (1) | northwest (1) | 53:10;54:15 |
| maintained (1) | 10:7,24;11:10;12:11; | 25:12 | 10:6 | open (1) |
| 51:24 | 13:1,23;16:4,9,11; | must (2) | note (3) | 49:19 |
| makes (2) | 20:10,13,24;21:3; | 14:20;51:7 | 19:14;23:10,16 | opened (1) |
| 12:9;25:19 | 23:24;24:18,24;25:2; |  | notice (1) | 53:4 |
| mall (1) | 26:3,14;27:5;30:7; | N | 46:23 | opinion (1) |
| 22:22 | 33:14;39:17;40:14; |  | nowhere (1) | 22:8 |
| manufacture (1) | 41:2;42:22;46:8,15; | name (1) | 10:21 | opposite (1) |
| 36:21 | 47:10;49:22;50:1,4,18; | 57:6 | Noyo (1) | 27:21 |
| many (2) | 53:4;54:3;55:16 | names (1) | 56:19 | ordinance (5) |
| 36:14;37:12 | middle (7) | 49:9 | number (6) | 12:3;14:2;17:21; |
| mark (4) | 32:1,21;36:9;38:17, | narrow (1) | 35:12,22;38:12; | 18:17;53:1 |
| 21:5;27:7;30:7;46:9 | 19;40:1,6 | 35:23 | 41:19;46:14;54:23 | ordinances (1) |
| Maser (1) | mimic (1) | nature (1) | numerous (1) | 12:6 |
| 19:24 | 28:11 | 18:24 | 27:2 | ordnance (1) |
| $\underset{22.15}{\operatorname{massing}}$ (2) | mimics (1) | near (1) |  | 22:25 |
| 22:1,5 | 30:19 | 10:21 | O | oriented (1) |
| 53:2 | 11:19 | 36:17;52:5 | objecting (1) | original (3) |
| material (1) | minimum (1) | necessary (2) | 37:6 | 5:23,25;10:19 |
| 22:2 | 17:23 | 17:20;18:16 | obstruction (2) | originally (2) |
| materials (2) | minus (2) | need (15) | 15:11;54:20 | 32:22;43:18 |
| 21:23;24:12 | 39:15,16 | 8:16,19;9:4,5;14:2; | obtain (1) | Otherwise (1) |
| matters (1) | minute (1) | 16:25;17:18;24:16; | 17:10 | 41:11 |
| 12:3 | 17:9 | 30:18;36:13,15,17; | obtained (1) | out (12) |
| may (3) | minutes (3) | 50:21;51:18;55:24 | 17:4 | 7:4;38:2,22;40:18; |
| 15:4,24;30:4 | 6:25;47:25;48:7 | needed (3) | obviously (2) | $42: 4,5,8,14,20 ; 44: 6$ |
| maybe (8) | MLUL (1) | 28:24;29:4;53:11 | 8:18;54:12 | $46: 20 ; 51: 5$ |
| $44: 16,16 ; 45: 7,11,12$ | 52:18 | needs (2) | October (5) | outline (1) |
| $13 ; 48: 15,16$ | MMA (1) | 43:2,3 | 19:8,9,10,12;20:7 | 27:14 |
| mean (6) | 19:23 | negative (2) | off (10) | outside (3) |
| $11: 12 ; 14: 4 ; 25: 11$ | modification (1) | 16:7;52:23 | $10: 15 ; 16: 12 ; 18: 11$ | $13: 10,20,21$ |
| 33:6;43:15;48:2 | $29: 24$ | negotiate (1) | $29: 17 ; 43: 13,23 ; 44: 7$ | over (11) |
| means (2) | modifications (2) | 43:9 | 22,24;46:11 | 7:25;10:4;13:17,22; |
| $11: 24 ; 13: 4$ | 30:4,16 | negotiating (1) | offer (1) | 38:3,11,14;40:24; |
| measurements (1) | modified (1) | 32:4 | 23:22 | 43:14,24;53:25 |
| 11:16 | 28:3 | Neptune (1) | office (4) | overall (4) |
| medical (9) | modify (2) | 20:21 | 7:10;16:18,23;25:9 | 22:5,7,10;52:19 |
| 5:13;6:13,14;7:10; | 5:12;35:23 | new (6) | official (2) | overlapping (1) |
| 37:5;41:16,17;42:5; | more (10) | $9: 6 ; 11: 18 ; 20: 21$ | 19:11;35:3 | $41: 23$ |
| 55:14 | 17:2;18:14;22:24; | $26: 11,19 ; 50: 10$ | officials (1) | overlay (5) |
| medical/professional (2) | 27:25;40:4;42:2;45:8, | Newark (2) | 12:19 | 18:1,6,7;51:9,19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16: 18,22 \\ & \text { meet (4) } \end{aligned}$ | $17 ; 49: 22 ; 54: 4$ $\operatorname{most}(\mathbf{2})$ | 26:20;50:9 Newman (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { old (2) } \\ & 8: 24 ; 27: 17 \end{aligned}$ | P |
| 14:8;29:15,24;44:15 | 10:20;54:17 | $26: 10$ | once (3) |  |
| meeting (1) | motion (3) | next (3) | 9:4;23:4;54:12 | PAD (6) |
| 29:19 | 55:6,9,25 | 7:7,9;36:4 | one (32) | $14: 18 ; 17: 7 ; 28: 4$ |
| member (1) | mount (2) | Nicholas (1) | 14:25;15:4;17:18; | 31:2,18;49:6 |
| 50:14 | 44:18;46:6 | 56:6 | 22:15;36:24;38:2,3,7,8, | painted (1) |
| members (2) | mounted (1) | Nick (3) | 15,18,18,19,23;39:23; | 45:10 |
| 44:21;47:15 | 45:1 | 8:4;13:8;55:13 | 40:9,17,20;42:14,20; | PANTINA (53) |
| mentioned (2) | move (11) | NJIT (1) | 44:2;46:16;49:4,10,12, | 5:1,8,18,21,25;6:7, |


| 10,16,23;7:3;8:5,9; | personally (1) | 44:8,11,12,14 | proposal (1) | 41:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9:12,20,25;10:11;11:8, | 14:9 | points (2) | 29:13 | realign (1) |
| 25;12:12;13:12;14:7; | perspective (6) | 5:10;51:5 | propose (1) | 27:20 |
| 15:18;19:7;24:5,15; | 21:4,7,10;22:14; | portion (4) | 23:19 | realizes (1) |
| 33:10;35:6,19;36:11, | 24:6;25:22 | 16:21;29:2,8;31:2 | proposed (12) | 43:1 |
| 16;39:16;43:25;44:4, | phase (1) | positive (1) | 12:20;16:17;18:18; | really (9) |
| 20;47:14,18;48:24; | 17:5 | 55:9 | 22:15,16;27:15;28:2, | 10:20;12:7;18:5,8; |
| 49:18,24;50:3;53:20; | Phillips (1) | possibly (1) | 13;30:5,9;31:4;47:6 | 25:7,18;37:5,7;51:19 |
| 54:19,23;55:1,13,24; | 20:2 | 14:10 | proposing (4) | rear (3) |
| 56:6,7,14,23,25;57:3,7 | photo (2) | potential (2) | 22:12,17;28:23;47:7 | 22:17;29:18;31:2 |
| parallel (1) | 46:10,14 | 24:22;52:9 | protection (3) | rearranging (1) |
| 28:21 | pick (1) | potentially (1) | 12:16,18,21 | 47:25 |
| parameters (1) | 32:8 | 6:2 | provide (2) | reason (1) |
| 23:14 | pit (1) | power (3) | 15:19;31:12 | 53:10 |
| parcel (1) | 23:11 | 23:11,17;24:14 | provided (1) | reasonable (2) |
| 25:16 | pits (2) | practicing (1) | 52:7 | 34:17,21 |
| park (2) | 23:17;24:14 | 26:22 | providing (5) | recall (1) |
| 32:25;37:14 | place (4) | predates (1) | 29:16,20;30:1,25; | 17:4 |
| parking (50) | 18:7;28:3;41:14; | 51:8 | $31: 13$ | receive (1) |
| $7: 22 ; 11: 9,10,11,12$ | 43:17 | Preiss (1) | provision (3) | 8:3 |
| $17,18 ; 13: 12,15,18$ | placed (1) | 20:2 | $18: 5,21 ; 51: 11$ | received (4) |
| 27:15,25;28:1,18; | 15:13 | preliminarily (1) | provisions (1) | 19:7,8,10;26:20 |
| 29:12,13,15,18;30:23; | placing (1) | 17:6 | 20:9 | receiving (1) |
| 31:20;32:5,7,8,8,12,14, | 31:3 | preliminary (7) | public (6) | 17:13 |
| 15,18,21,24;33:18,21, | plains (1) | 5:24;6:1;9:14;16:16, | 49:19,20;52:25; | record (3) |
| 23,24,25;34:2,5;36:8, | 22:3 | 16;17:5,8 | 53:23;54:2;55:4 | 23:10;36:25;47:4 |
| 22;38:12;39:2,4,12; | plan (22) | pretty (2) | purpose (1) | recording (1) |
| 42:19;43:2,6,9;47:6; | 5:15,15;7:9;8:11; | 28:5;46:1 | 45:2 | 6:21 |
| 48:9;55:21 | 9:5;10:20;14:14;15:25, | prevents (1) | purposes (1) | rectangular (1) |
| part (8) | 25;16:17;17:5,8;25:20; | 43:12 | $52: 18$ | 32:13 |
| 9:21;10:19,21;12:4; | 30:16;31:17;32:12; | previous (1) | pursuant (1) | Red (3) |
| 21:14;33:12,13;55:18 | 34:11,12;48:3;53:2; | 31:17 | 27:9 | 26:11;54:22;55:1 |
| particular (2) | 54:11;55:17 | previously (5) | put (20) | redraw (1) |
| 49:6;52:6 | planned (2) | 28:1,15;29:14;30:20; | $18: 7,11 ; 31: 25 ; 32: 20$ | 47:21 |
| past (1) | 16:13;52:19 | 47:9 | $33: 4,20 ; 35: 16,17,21$ | reduce (2) |
| 12:2 | planner (4) | primarily (1) | $41: 24 ; 42: 13,18 ; 44: 2$ | 23:11;41:19 |
| patterns (1) | 26:19;47:13;50:2,14 | $21: 16$ | 15,18,24;45:13;46:5; | referring (1) |
| 21:16 | Planners (1) | principal (4) | 48:8,8 | 25:14 |
| Paul (1) | 50:15 | 17:18,23,25;50:25 | putting (2) | reflect (1) |
| 51:4 | planning (6) | prior (3) | 45:16,17 | 23:2 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Paul's (1) } \\ 19: 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:1;25:21;26:23,25; } \\ & 27: 1 ; 51: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $5: 16,16 ; 50: 19$ | O | $\underset{54: 5}{\operatorname{regard}(1)}$ |
| PCD (2) | plans (5) | $8: 20 ; 14: 13 ; 30: 21$ | Q | $\underset{\text { regarding (1) }}{ }$ |
| 51:5,13 | 7:15;23:2;30:4; | 54:15 | quadrant (1) | 46:17 |
| PCV (1) | 47:22;48:1 | problem (6) | 10:6 | regardless (1) |
| 25:6 | plantings (1) | 32:2;43:17;44:19; | qualifications (1) | 55:8 |
| peak (1) | 31:3 | 45:21;46:3,7 | $26: 16$ | regular (4) |
| 47:8 | plat (2) | problems (1) | quantities (1) | 14:8;34:1,2;39:17 |
| Pehnke (1) | 5:4;6:22 | 36:24 | $47: 5$ | regulation (1) |
| $47: 3$ | Pleasant (1) | proceed (1) | quantity (1) | 12:16 |
| people (5) | 42:4 | 15:24 | 31:11 | related (1) |
| 31:20;37:12;40:19; | please (4) | professional (4) | quarter (1) | 52:1 |
| 42:8,19 | 8:3;26:16;51:1;56:1 | 7:17;26:18,18;50:14 | 7:3 | relooked (1) |
| percent (4) | pleased (1) | professionals (3) | quick (3) | 30:18 |
| 22:21;29:25;30:1,2 | 16:20 | 29:9;30:19;48:13 | 44:23;49:4;50:5 | rendering (9) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { perfect (2) } \\ & 10: 4: 36: 10 \end{aligned}$ | pm (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { project (6) } \\ & 15: 6,10 ; 19: 23 ; 21: 6 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { quite (1) } \\ & 25.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 2,3 ; 21: 10,22 ; \\ & 22 \cdot 14: 24: 6 \cdot 30 \cdot 5 \cdot 9 \cdot 48 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| period (1) | pocket (2) | $24: 3 ; 47: 4$ | quote (1) | replaced (1) |
| 13:22 | 35:12,22 | proofs (1) | 12:13 | 46:25 |
| permit (1) | point (5) | $18: 11$ |  | reply (1) |
| 18:18 | 5:4;37:20;44:13,13; | property (8) | $\mathbf{R}$ | $20: 7$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { person (3) } \\ & 14: 25 ; 34: 20 ; 43: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46: 1 \\ \text { pointer (4) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 16,22 ; 29: 15,18 ; \\ & 30: 11 ; 51: 17 ; 52: 6,17 \end{aligned}$ | rather (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { report (5) } \\ & 7: 17 ; 19: 8,9,11 ; 46: 19 \end{aligned}$ |


| reports (2) | 22,25;40:2,13,25;42:3, | 21:9;55:4 | 23:12;29:18;32:11; | 26:3,3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:6,7 | 9,12;44:7,8,10,10,11, | seeking (2) | 33:4,5,7;39:22;40:7; | south (4) |
| request (1) | 12,13;48:5,5;49:6,12; | 17:7;50:24 | 44:8,9 | 21:11;27:19;40:20; |
| 41:13 | 53:24 | seems (1) | sides (1) | 41:5 |
| requesting (3) | RINALDO (12) | 53:25 | 38:6 | southerly (1) |
| 17:21;18:16;19:4 | 15:2,22;16:6,10; | send (3) | sign (20) | 35:13 |
| require (4) | 20:6,11;21:2;26:1; | 9:4,6;20:6 | 5:5;6:18,19;18:12, | southern (2) |
| 18:6;48:19;51:23; | 27:4;38:20;50:17; | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s e n t }}(\mathbf{5})$ | 18;19:4;22:16,23;24:9, | 29:2;31:4 |
| 54:16 | 55:15 | 7:13;10:4;14:21; | 22;29:10;40:16,18; | southernmost (1) |
| required (5) | Road (4) | 24:17;46:23 | 41:9,14,25;42:13; | 46:11 |
| 29:16,20;32:10;51:4; | 26:10;29:4;42:10; | separate (1) | 43:15;45:10;50:21 | SP-1132-20 (1) |
| 54:7 | 44:3 | 25:16 | signage (13) | 55:14 |
| requirement (3) | Robert (9) | September (2) | 22:11,23;23:5,6; | space (17) |
| 15:12;31:10;51:6 | 7:20;15:5;19:24; | 14:11;17:10 | 25:6,10;40:12;43:17; | 32:14,16;33:24;34:2, |
| requirements (2) | 26:9,14;33:14;42:22; | serve (1) | 45:9,13,20;46:5;55:18 | 5,7,8,10, 12;35:15;36:7, |
| 29:25;51:21 | 46:15;47:11 | 25:4 | signal (2) | 8;38:2,3,12;39:23,25 |
| requires (1) | roll (1) | sessions (1) | 42:11;54:22 | spaces (21) |
| 17:22 | 56:1 | 10:14 | signing (2) | 32:5,7,9,12,18,21,24; |
| reschedule (1) | roof (2) | set (4) | 40:12,13 | 33:3,8,15,23,25;35:10; |
| 47:21 | 54:8,17 | 23:14;51:14,18,22 | signs (10) | 36:22;37:6,22;38:16; |
| reserved (2) | Route (8) | setback (11) | 18:19;22:13,13,18, | 39:12,13,21;48:9 |
| 38:22,23 | 20:21;25:15,20; | 17:19,23;18:4,6; | 19;23:7;25:12;38:22, | spacing (1) |
| residential (1) | 28:21;30:23;40:25; | 29:15,18,22;51:7;52:1, | 25;46:6 | 40:2 |
| 51:16 | 45:11;46:11 | 7,15 | single (1) | specific (1) |
| resolution (2) | RPZ (21) | setbacks (1) | 15:13 | 24:14 |
| $16: 5,6$ | 10:9;13:10,10,13,19, | 50:25 | sit (1) | specifically (2) |
| respect (1) | 20;15:14,25;18:1,6; | sewer (2) | 48:12 | 23:6;51:14 |
| 52:14 | 28:9,14;29:22;35:14; | 28:8;52:16 | site (29) | speculation (1) |
| respond (3) | 51:9,19;52:15;53:12, | shade (1) | 7:9;10:6,20;14:12, | 16:24 |
| 57:1,3,5 | 17;54:9,18 | 27:16 | 13,16;15:17;16:17; | spend (2) |
| resubmit (1) | runway (3) | shall (4) | 17:5,7,8;27:22;28:7,9, | 47:25;48:6 |
| 55:16 | 12:15,17,21 | 12:8,18,21,23 | 12,25;29:1,3,5,5,8,8; | Split (1) |
| retail (2) |  | share (1) | 30:9,13;31:10;43:8; | 31:25 |
| 25:8;37:17 | S | 27:6 | 47:25;49:6;52:14 | spoke (1) |
| retaining (1) |  | sheet (1) | sits (1) | 25:1 |
| $30: 10$ review (8) | safety (1) | 24:6 | 25:23 | spot (5) |
| review (8) 7.17:9.3,15:19.14 | 52:1 | sheets (1) | sitting (1) | 28:6;35:21;36:4,4; |
| $7: 17 ; 9: 3,15 ; 19: 14$ | same (6) | 10:4 | 10:5 | 43:5 |
| 15;23:14;51:5;52:3 | 21:23;24:7;28:3,6; | shifted (4) | size (1) | spots (5) |
| reviewed (2) | 48:7,14 | 19:17,17,19;27:18 | 31:10 | 31:7,20,21;36:3,14 |
| 11:3;19:6 | sanitary (2) | shifting (1) | slightly (1) | Springs (1) |
| revise (2) | 28:8;52:16 | 28:18 | 28:19 | 26:10 |
| 23:1,4 | saying (6) | shopping (2) | solve (1) | square (6) |
| revision (1) | 6:17;8:2;14:2,13; | 41:4,6 | 36:23 | 10:5;14:21;15:8; |
| 31:16 | 38:22;39:19 | short (1) | somebody (1) | 16:18,22;51:14 |
| Ricci (27) | school (1) | 7:1 | 43:23 | stall (1) |
| 19:9;23:1;24:21; | 13:17 | shortly (1) | somehow (1) | 33:18 |
| 25:1;31:16;33:3,8; | science (1) | 19:18 | 36:21 | stalls (6) |
| 34:6,17,21;35:2;36:25; | 26:20 | shoulders (1) | someone (1) | 29:14;30:23;31:9,11, |
| 37:4,15,19;38:4,8,21, | screen (6) | 11:21 | 34:8 | 12;43:2 |
| 25;39:9,13;42:15; | 21:4,9;27:6,12;38:1, | show (8) | someone's (1) | standpoint (2) |
| 46:13,20;49:16;50:20; | 10 | 19:18,19;21:4;23:8; | 44:23 | 28:24;51:11 |
| 53:6 | sea (1) | 30:5;45:23;47:24;48:4 | someplace (1) | start (2) |
| Ricci's (1) | 11:15 | showed (2) | 34:19 | 16:11;32:17 |
| 18:3 | second (6) | 5:18;31:18 | somewhere (2) | State (2) |
| Right (51) | 13:7;19:23;36:8; | shown (2) | 7:5,24 | 26:19;27:3 |
| 5:7,8,16,20;6:1,17; | 39:25;55:24,25 | 10:1;54:11 | soon (1) | statement (1) |
| 8:4;10:11;11:22;13:24; | secondary (1) | shows (4) | 6:18 | 23:9 |
| 14:23;16:11;23:2; | 43:7 | 27:14,14,17;45:24 | sophistication (1) | steady (1) |
| 24:10;26:6;28:23; | section (4) | shut (1) | 22:7 | 54:22 |
| 32:11,21;34:10;35:12, | 17:22;18:17;22:20; | 10:15 | sorry (2) | stick (1) |
| 14,15,22;36:18;37:6; | 53:12 | side (13) | 49:8;54:3 | 35:14 |
| 38:1,16,18,19;39:5,20, | seeing (2) | 10:13;18:20;22:16; | Sounds (2) | still (4) |


| 20:15,16;29:24; | 14:19 | Township (1) | $33: 22 ; 35: 16 ; 36: 12$ | weekend (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46:18 | talk (5) | 26:24 | 39:24,25;41:24;42:2; | 7:18 |
| stone (1) | 6:23;24:21,23,24; | traffic (2) | 44:2,10;46:4;48:14; | weighed (1) |
| 21:19 | 49:2 | 47:3,8 | 49:19;53:4,24 | 11:4 |
| stop (4) | talked (2) | transcript (1) | upon (4) | what's (6) |
| 9:25;29:10,10;44:11 | 5:3;17:9 | 46:9 | 13:5;15:6;25:18; | 35:5;38:1,10;40:14; |
| storage (2) | talking (3) | transformer (2) | 50:19 | 48:22;54:23 |
| 28:2;31:1 | 5:19;37:24;55:17 | 28:4;31:2 | upper (3) | whereas (1) |
| store (2) | talks (2) | trash (4) | 23:11,17;24:14 | 17:25 |
| 21:20;39:7 | 18:22,23 | 28:2,20;31:1;33:11 | Urgent (2) | whereby (4) |
| stores (1) | technically (1) | treated (1) | 49:12,16 | 27:17;29:14,19,25 |
| 39:6 | 18:25 | 25:24 | use (1) | wind (1) |
| straight (1) | telling (2) | trees (1) | 52:21 | 42:2 |
| 45:9 | 34:25;37:17 | 46:20 | utilized (1) | within (8) |
| street (5) | tenant (17) | tried (1) | $21: 23$ | $7: 18,18 ; 12: 20 ; 24: 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13: 16,19 ; 18: 23 ; \\ & 29: 17: 50: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $31: 8,15 ; 33: 13 ; 34: 8,$ | $21: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U-turn (3) } \\ & 43 \cdot 16 \cdot 45 \cdot 10 \cdot 46 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | $35: 1 ; 46: 19 ; 51: 13,14$ |
| stripe (1) | $37: 11,18 ; 38: 11,23$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{rip}(1) \\ 46: 18 \end{gathered}$ |  | $12: 23$ |
| 40:5 | 39:3,4,22;49:5 | truck (2) | V | witness (8) |
| structure (1) | tenants (7) | 29:4,5 |  | 19:22,24;20:4,12,13; |
| 31:5 | 16:24;32:3,19,24; | try (2) | van (4) | 47:13;49:23;53:21 |
| study (1) | 48:19,20;49:9 | 27:20;33:20 | 34:4;36:7,21;38:15 | witnesses (3) |
| 53:13 | terms (4) | trying (2) | variance (11) | 17:2;18:15;19:20 |
| stuff (2) | 22:11,20;52:19,23 | 10:11;36:11 | 17:20,21;18:12,12, | wood (1) |
| $12: 2 ; 13: 18$ | testified (5) | turn (12) | 17;19:4;24:22;50:5,21, | 21:17 |
| subdivision (10) | 20:22,25;26:12; | 36:6;38:14;40:25; | 24;52:12 | word (1) |
| 5:9,17,24;6:5,9,10, | 50:11;54:5 | 41:7,8;42:19;43:3,4,4; | variances (2) | 12:1 |
| 15,22;10:2;18:25 | testify (2) | 45:5;46:5;55:22 | 17:18;55:7 | wording (2) |
| subject (2) | 17:2;18:15 | turning (1) | various (2) | 12:6;25:7 |
| 25:16;55:19 | testimony (1) | 28:19 | 21:16,17 | words (5) |
| submission (1) | 53:3 texteres (2) | turns (4) | vehicle (3) | 16:2,4;31:19;35:18; |
| 9:24 | textures (2) | $41: 20,23 ; 42: 3,3$ twe (14) | $29: 11 ; 42: 24 ; 43: 3$ | 45:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { submit (3) } \\ & 6: 21 ; 20: 24 ; 23: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 21:17;22:2 theme (2) | two (14) <br> 17:18;22:13 | vehicles (2) 28:24,25 | work (5) 5:22:28:17:34•3, |
| submitted (10) | 22:10;24:2 | 31:12,18;32:12;33:25; | verbatim (1) | $40: 10$ |
| $8: 11 ; 9: 13,18,21$ | Third (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 7,8 ; 39: 19 ; 44: 15,21 \\ & 49: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $12: 13$ | Y |
| $\begin{equation*} 24: 7 ; 30: 20 ; 46: 19 \tag{4} \end{equation*}$ | thorough (1) | $\begin{gathered} 49: 7 \\ \text { two-way } \end{gathered}$ | $34: 11,12$ | Y |
| substantial (2) | 25:3 | 29:1;41:8;42:25; | violators (2) | yada (3) |
| 52:24,25 | though (2) | 43:10 | 39:3,4 | 12:16,16,17 |
| sufficient (1) | 10:8;53:14 | typically (2) | visual (1) | years (2) |
| 52:8 | thought (2) | 12:1;48:22 | 24:16 | 26:22,24 |
| Suite (2) | 34:25;49:24 |  | vote (3) | Yep (1) |
| 26:10;50:10 | three (2) | $\mathbf{U}$ | 15:1,3;17:15 | 36:5 |
| $\underset{30: 3}{\operatorname{summary}}(\mathbf{1})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 19:20;31:13 } \\ \text { throughout (3) } \end{gathered}$ | uncalled (2) | W | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| supposed (2) | 21:24;25:12;27:3 | 48:2,16 |  |  |
| 34:23;46:24 | today (3) | unclear (1) | Wait (3) | zone (27) |
| sure (7) | 7:13;25:2;45:24 | 18:22 | 9:25,25;44:20 | 12:16,18,21;13:10, |
| 19:5;21:8;28:13; | tonight (2) | underlying (1) | walk (3) | 11,13,19;15:14;16:14; |
| $44: 5 ; 51: 12 ; 53: 14$ | 17:16;19:21 | 25:10 | 21:5;31:21;50:23 | 17:19,24;18:1,4,7,7; |
| 55:16 | took (1) | Understood (2) | wall (2) | 25:10;28:10,14;29:22; |
| surrounding (2) | 8:14 | 16:9;41:21 | $30: 10 ; 31: 4$ | 35:14;51:1,6,13,15,20; |
| 51:15,17 | top (2) | unintended (1) | Walmart (1) | 53:13;54:10 |
| swear (1) | 42:21;44:10 | 18:9 | 27:22 | zones (2) |
| 20:3 | total (3) | unique (1) | wants (1) | 51:8,17 |
| sworn (6) | 22:12,19;47:5 | 51:20 | 35:11 | zoning (3) |
| $20: 17,18,22 ; 26: 11$ | totally (2) | unless (6) | way (10) | $18: 21 ; 53: 1,13$ |
| $50: 6,11$ | 40:8,9 | 16:2,3,8;20:4;33:10; | $32: 6,8 ; 34: 10 ; 38: 3,$ | zoom (1) |
| T | towed (3) | $47: 11$ | $17 ; 39: 24 ; 40: 17,21$ | 32:6 |
| I | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 2 ; 39: 3,5 \\ & \text { town (1) } \end{aligned}$ | up (23) | week (2) | 1 |
| Taco (1) | 35:4 | 15;27:6,19;28:17;32:8; | 8:15;46:23 |  |



